Dual-release Hydrocortisone in Addison’s Disease—A Review of the Literature
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Abstract

In patients with adrenal insufficiency, glucocorticoids (GCs) are insufficiently secreted and GC replacement is essential for health and, indeed, life. Despite GC-replacement therapy, patients with adrenal insufficiency have a greater cardiovascular risk than the general population, and suffer from impaired health-related quality of life. Although the aim of the replacement GC therapy is to reproduce as much as possible the physiologic pattern of cortisol secretion by the normal adrenal gland, the pharmacokinetics of available oral immediate-release hydrocortisone or cortisone make it impossible to fully mimic the cortisol rhythm. Therefore, there is an unmet clinical need for the development of novel pharmaceutical preparations of hydrocortisone, in order to guarantee a more physiologic serum cortisol concentration time-profile, and to improve the long-term outcome in patients under GC substitution therapy.
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Conventional Glucocorticoid Therapy—Limits (see Figure 1)

The major problem related to currently available GC preparations for replacement therapy is its lack of capacity to provide appropriate physiologic replacement because of its pharmacokinetics. The cortisol levels following hydrocortisone and cortisone acetate intake show large inter-individual variation. Both drugs result in high serum cortisol levels right after tablet intake, and often immeasurable levels only few hours later.

Although the aim of the substitution therapy with GC is to replicate as much as possible the physiologic pattern of cortisol secretion by the normal adrenal gland, the pharmacokinetics of available oral immediate-release GC, hydrocortisone, or cortisone, make it impossible to fully mimic the cortisol rhythm. Therefore, there is an unmet clinical need for the development of novel pharmaceutical preparations of hydrocortisone to guarantee a more physiologic serum cortisol concentration time-profile, and to improve the outcome in patients under GC substitution therapy.
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Figure 1: Serum Cortisol Levels after Administration of Three Daily Doses of Hydrocortisone or a Single Dual-release Hydrocortisone Preparation Compared with Physiologic Average Concentration

The pharmacokinetics of immediate-release hydrocortisone make it impossible for physicians to replicate physiologic cortisol release. A number of research studies have explored different hydrocortisone regimes to try and identify the best doses and patterns of treatment.

Patients on a thrice-daily regimen show levels of cortisol that are much more constant, which better mimic physiologic cortisol rhythm compared with those on a twice-daily regimen. The use of weight-adjusted dosing before food has been proposed as the regime able to decrease interpatient variability in maximum cortisol concentration from 31 to 7 %, and to reduce overexposure to <5 %; thus, thrice-daily weight-adjusted dosing has been recommended as the preferred hydrocortisone regime. It is a clinical experience, however, in which patient compliance with thrice-daily dosing is far from absolute for many patients.

Another GC, preferred in some European countries, including Italy, is cortisone acetate, a prodrug that is converted to hydrocortisone by the enzyme 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (11βHSD1) type 1 and that possesses a GC activity equivalent to 0.8 that of hydrocortisone. Some authors oppose the use of cortisone acetate, since cortisone reductase (11βHSD1) deficiency has been described and renders cortisone inactive as replacement therapy. Moreover, the variable action of this enzyme could result in unpredictable cortisol effects after cortisone acetate. Compared with hydrocortisone, it presents a lower serum cortisol peak and possible delayed clearance of cortisone, which are properties that may be advantageous. At the same time, the difference in cortisol circulating levels after hydrocortisone or cortisone acetate replacement, and its clinical relevance, remain to be determined. As for hydrocortisone, a thrice-daily administration has been recommended as the preferred cortisone regime, as this regimen guarantees more physiologic cortisol levels than twice-daily administration.

Another major unsolved problem related to GC substitution therapy of adrenal insufficiency is monitoring the adequacy of the replacement, as no objective method or biomarker of cortisol activity has been demonstrated to be useful at this purpose. Some authors suggest that a simple, practical, and effective way of monitoring cortisol is to measure a single serum cortisol 4 hours after dosing, and to compare this with a dosing nomogram that allows prediction of the cortisol and hence total cortisol exposure.

At present, monitoring of GC replacement adequacy mostly relies on clinical assessment, which consists on the evaluation of clinical signs and symptoms suggestive for hypocortisolism (fatigue, abdominal or muscular pain, weight loss, hyperpigmentation) or hypercortisolism (weight gain, muscle weakness, psychiatric symptoms).

Clinical scores of over- and under-replacement weakly correlate with cortisol concentrations. At the same time, cortisol concentrations themselves cannot distinguish between patients who feel over- or under-replaced and those who feel well-replaced. Finally, the long-term effects of GC substitution therapy remained to be determined.

Once Addison’s disease is diagnosed and treated, life expectancy is considered normal and without complications, although during the last 6–7 years some studies have shown that replacement GC treatment might be involved in anthropometric and metabolic impairment with increased cardiovascular risk, namely if conventional doses are used.

In particular, based on data collected from the National Swedish Hospital and Cause of Death Registers, Berghorsdottir et al. reported an increased mortality in patients with adrenal insufficiency compared with the general population, mainly secondary to cardiovascular diseases and malignancies. Authors hypothesized that an excess of hydrocortisone dose, as well as the nonphysiologic daily pattern of cortisol peaks and nadirs, may be responsible for the increased mortality observed in these patients.

Another Swedish study, linking the hospital discharge diagnosis to the National Death Register and Swedish Cancer Register also reported an overall standardized mortality rate of 2.7, with a peak of 4.6 among patients with autoimmune polyendocrine syndrome type 1 (APS1).

The increased mortality in APS1 patients was also observed in a third Scandinavian study from Norway, in which the authors hypothesized that GC undertreatment might be potentially life-threatening.

It has also been hypothesized that an altered circadian cortisol profile may be associated with an increased risk for abdominal obesity and the metabolic syndrome. Indeed, it is likely that the majority of patients in substitution therapy with hydrocortisone/cortisone acetate are overtreated; at the same time, the large interpersonal variability in daily need, intestinal absorption, and carrier proteins concentrations, as well as GC-receptor polymorphism, make it difficult to translate the biochemical data to the clinical setting.

Ongoing studies are testing the potential influence of polymorphisms of the GC receptor on the risk for metabolic complications in patients with Addison’s disease.

Modified Hydrocortisone Preparation—Advantages

The first new preparation studied in clinical trials was a hydrocortisone preparation with a delayed and sustained release, consisting of an insoluble
barrier coat covering all but one face of the tablet, with a slowly eroding layer retarding release from an inner drug containing layer (Chronocort®). The rationale of this preparation was to provide a peak in cortisol levels at waking, and reproduce a more physiologic daily cortisol serum profile.

This drug was tested in a pilot study of six healthy males whose endogenous cortisol had been suppressed by dexamethasone. Two formulations (15 mg unit dose) with predicted delayed release of 2 and 4 hours were studied. The dose of 30 mg (two tablets) was administered at 22.00 hours. As expected, the measured serum cortisol showed delayed release, as expected, of 2 and 4 hours, with median peak cortisol concentrations at 4.5 and 10 hours, respectively.

The results of the pilot study were later confirmed by an open-label, randomized, single-dose, crossover phase I study in 28 healthy subjects. In this trial, the subjects were randomized to receive 5, 15, or 30 mg of Chronocort, administered at 22.00 hours. The profiles indicated a good match to the normal circadian profile overnight, but cortisol levels lower than normal after 12.00 hours on day 2, suggesting that it would not be suitable for just once-daily nocturnal dosing and that a second dose is to be assumed in the morning. A second dose of 10 mg is to be assumed in the morning for the daily need of late morning and afternoon.

A phase II study performed in patients with congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH) treated with a single dose of 30 mg Chronocort demonstrated a single cortisol peak at 6.00 hours and 17-hydroxyprogesterone concentrations significantly lower than those observed in patients treated with the conventional hydrocortisone preparation. However, high concentrations of 17-hydroxyprogesterone were observed in the afternoon, confirming the need for a second smaller dose in the morning.

Overall, although the use of this delayed hydrocortisone preparation was shown to provide a more physiologic cortisol serum profile compared with the conventional preparations of hydrocortisone, an important limit was a slightly higher cortisol night exposure, due to the fact that cortisol levels started to raise after 4 hours from the evening dose (around 2.00–3.00 hours) to reach the peak at waking.

No line of evidence supports the hypothesis that low cortisol exposure during the night may be a safety concern, while elevated cortisol levels in the late evening and at night (between midnight and 4.00 hours) may have detrimental effects on sleep quality and well-being and may provide the basis for long-term metabolic effects.

Another drug studied was a dual-release preparation of hydrocortisone (Duocort®, Plenadren®), which was formulated to have an immediate-release coating and an internal core with delayed release, in order to obtain a rapid increase of cortisol levels in the morning and a prolonged lower release later.

In a randomized, controlled, two-way crossover, double-blind, phase I study, Johansson et al. investigated single-dose pharmacokinetics and dose-proportionality of oral 5 and 20 mg modified-release hydrocortisone tablets during fasting and fed conditions in 16 healthy volunteers. They demonstrated that the absorption of this drug was rapid (17–20 minutes for the 20 mg tablet in the fasted state) and that it was able to induce adequate increase of morning cortisol levels in all healthy subjects treated, with a time of peak concentration similar to that for conventional hydrocortisone (about 40–50 minutes). They also showed a more gradual decline in cortisol concentrations, as this drug provided an extended terminal half-life, about 1.0–1.5 hours longer than after administration of conventional tablet. This prolonged terminal half-life reduced or avoided the subnormal serum levels observed between 12.00 and 14.00 hours with the conventional hydrocortisone preparation. However, it was shorter enough to avoid supraphysiologic afternoon cortisol levels observed with conventional hydrocortisone treatment and to provide a cortisol-free interval during night (see Figure 1).

The 20 mg dose was also given 30 minutes after a high caloric breakfast and, compared with the fasting state, the intestinal absorption of hydrocortisone was delayed by about 60 minutes, but the cortisol profile was similar to that in fasting state. It is important from a safety aspect that concomitant food intake will not prevent absorption of hydrocortisone or impact on the predictability and appearance of the resulting plasma cortisol profile.

Thus, this new formulation has the potential for once-daily dosing, but cannot fully mimic the early morning cortisol peak, as the cortisol profile obtained with this new formulation is therefore slightly skewed in time. This was a choice taken in order to produce a safe product with high bioavailability of hydrocortisone with the lowest possible variability.

More recently, the same authors published a phase II open, randomized, two-period, 12-week crossover multicenter trial with a 24-week extension at five university hospital centers, in 64 adult patients with adrenal insufficiency. The mean age was 47 years, the most common dose of hydrocortisone was 30 mg/day and less than 50 % had a twice-daily regimen before the run-in period; 11 patients suffered from diabetes, and 11 were treated for hypertension.

The results of this study confirmed an improved serum cortisol profile with the dual-release formulation compared with conventional hydrocortisone treatment administered thrice-daily and with the same total daily dose (see Figure 1). The authors also demonstrated that the new preparation provided a higher serum cortisol profile during the first 4 hours after morning intake and then gradually lower levels through the day, with a 24-hour cortisol exposure reduction of about 19.4 % in terms of conventional treatment.

Interestingly, at the end of the 12-week treatment period with the dual-release preparation, body weight significantly reduced in both the entire population of 64 patients and in 11 patients with concomitant diabetes. While no differences in fasting plasma glucose or insulin were observed between the treatments at 12 weeks, a significant reduction in glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was observed in both the entire population of 64 patients (–0.1 % versus standard hydrocortisone) and in 11 patients with concomitant diabetes (–0.6 %). Less pronounced were the effects on lipid metabolism. In particular, total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol were not significantly different between the dual-release and the standard hydrocortisone preparations, while a small decrease in high-density lipoprotein (HDL) was reported in the total population, but not in the subgroup of patients with diabetes; a slightly increase in triglycerides was observed in the total population, either at 12 week or at 24 week, while new formulation decreased triglycerides in patients with diabetes. A beneficial effect was
also observed on systolic and diastolic blood pressure that decreased from baseline to 12 weeks of treatment with the dual-release (-5.5 mmHg and -2.3 mmHg, respectively), but not with standard hydrocortisone, namely in patients with normal to high blood pressure levels; no further changes in blood pressure were observed during the extension phase.

In this study the authors also demonstrated some changes in bone markers, as mean concentrations of N-terminal propeptide of type I procollagen and osteocalcin increased over 12 weeks of dual-release treatment, without more changes during the extension phase.

Notably, patients showed an improvement of the QoL as psychosocial functioning, cognitive functioning, and positive well-being when taking the dual-release formulation compared with the conventional treatment. In the extension phase of the trial, 92% of patients chose to continue the treatment with the dual-release formulation.

No significant differences in adverse events were recorded in the study between the two treatments (103 on new drug versus 75 on conventional treatment); the most commonly adverse events were nasopharyngitis, fatigue, gastroenteritis, and influenza. Adverse events were more commonly reported during the first 8 weeks of the dual-release formulation than during the third month of treatment.

Thanks to the above mentioned results, the dual-release hydrocortisone preparation (Plenadren) was approved by the European Medicines Agency for the therapy of adrenal insufficiency. In particular, on May 22, 2006, orphan designation (EU/3/06/372) was granted by the European Commission to Duocort AB, Sweden, for hydrocortisone (modified-release tablet) for the treatment of adrenal insufficiency. The sponsorship was transferred to Duocort Pharma AB, Sweden, in November 2008 and subsequently to ViroPharma SPRL, Belgium, in February 2012.

Preliminary data have already been published as Abstracts at International Congresses. In particular, Simeoli et al. reported an improvement in weight, waist circumference, and QoL in a group of 12 patients with adrenal insufficiency after 3 months of Plenadren treatment at doses ranging from 15 to 40 mg/day. The European Adrenal Insufficiency Registry (EUAIR), which was started in August 2012 as a multinational, observational study of patients with primary or secondary adrenal insufficiency, will provide opportunities to collect long-term safety data related to the use of Plenadren.

Conclusion

After over 50 years of conventional hydrocortisone/cortisone acetate treatment, a novel drug is entering the routine practice of clinical management of adrenal insufficiency. The dual-release hydrocortisone preparation combines several interesting characteristics, as it guarantees a rapid release of hydrocortisone early in the morning, when needed most, with a prolonged lower effect during the day, thus making a single administration a day possible, which is certainly important to improve patient compliance. The more physiologic serum cortisol profile observed with the dual-release preparation compared with the conventional hydrocortisone treatment, although not able to fully replicate all aspects of an intact hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal axis, offers the prospect of improved biochemical control and QoL.