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Abstract

Over the last century several families have been described with familial isolated pituitary adenomas (FIIPAs). Most commonly, family members have acromegaly or prolactinoma, but other types of pituitary adenomas can also occur. Recently, mutations in the AIP (aryl hydrocarbon receptor interacting protein) gene have been found to occur in 30–50% of FIIPA patients, while for the rest of the patients the gene causing the disease is currently unknown and is a topic of intense research. Tumours in patients with AIP mutations are diagnosed at significantly younger ages and tend to be larger. Often the response to medical therapy in these patients is poor. This article discusses the clinical and genetic characteristics of this relatively recently recognised disease.
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Pituitary adenomas are common intracranial tumours, and clinically relevant pituitary adenomas have been estimated to occur in about one in every 1,000 of the population.¹ The vast majority of these adenomas are sporadic; however, there is increasing recognition that pituitary adenomas may also occur in a familial setting, and a recent estimate suggests that 5% of pituitary adenomas are familial in origin.² Familial pituitary adenomas can form part of the classic syndromes of multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (MEN1) and Carney complex. However, a number of families have been identified to have isolated familial pituitary adenomas and show an autosomal dominant inheritance with incomplete penetrance (the proportion of individuals with the inherited mutation who develop the disease), without the clinical features or genetic abnormalities of the MEN1 syndrome and Carney complex. Over the last decade, these individuals have been classified as having isolated familial somatotropinoma (IFS),³⁻⁴ familial isolated pituitary adenoma (FIIPA)⁵⁻⁶ or pituitary adenoma predisposition (PAP),⁷ covering overlapping entities.

The first documented report of families with several members affected by acromegaly occurred over 100 years ago (see Figure 1).⁸ However, the genetic basis of this condition was unknown until 2006, when a Finnish group identified germline mutations in a gene known as AIP (aryl hydrocarbon receptor interacting protein; see Figure 2) while studying large families with acromegaly and prolactinoma in northern Finland.⁹ Subsequent work focused on determining the prevalence of AIP mutations in FIIPA families and studying the relevance in sporadic pituitary tumours.

FIIPA is an autosomal dominant disease with low or variable penetrance (see Figure 3) characterised by a heterogeneous genetic background. FIIPA has been identified in more than 170 families, with over 400 individuals described in the literature, including 86 families having familial acromegaly. Within FIIPA families there is a heterogeneity of pituitary tumours (see Figure 4),⁴⁻⁶,⁻⁷,⁻¹¹ with somatotroph (growth-hormone-secreting) and lactotroph (prolactin-secreting) adenomas being the most common, although other combinations involving non-functioning adenomas, corticotroph (ACTH-secreting) and gonadotroph (gonadotropin-secreting) adenomas have also been reported.⁷⁻¹⁴ Patients with familial disease are on average four to six years younger at diagnosis than sporadic patients. Patients from later generations tend to be significantly younger at diagnosis compared with earlier generations, probably because of increased pituitary disease recognition and surveillance among later generations.

Clinical Characteristics of AIP Mutation Patients versus Those with No AIP Mutation

About 30–50% of families with FIIPA have a mutation in the AIP gene. Some early-onset – often childhood-onset – acromegaly patients are also positive for AIP mutations. Mutations of AIP have mainly been found in families with either pure somatotroph adenomas or families with mixed somatotroph and lactotroph adenomas. Interestingly, none of the pure prolactinoma families have AIP mutations, and no AIP mutation has been found in a known FIIPA family with at least one member not having either a somatotroph or lactotroph adenoma. Pituitary adenoma tissue has also been studied for AIP mutations in cases where the DNA extracted from blood (germline) is negative, but has never revealed any AIP mutations.⁸⁻¹¹
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Due to limited genealogical data, the exact penetrance (proportion of individuals with the mutation who develop the disease) of pituitary tumours is difficult to calculate accurately. However, a best estimate emerges from the largest well-studied family with an AIP mutation: one-third of individuals (three of nine subjects with AIP mutations) developed pituitary tumours at the time of the study. We find similar penetrance in our largest family with AIP mutations.

AIP Mutations Described
The AIP protein was thought to be associated with the receptor of an environmental toxin and with a protein important in cAMP degradation (a second messenger signalling molecule in the cell). Currently, it is unclear which mechanism leads to pituitary tumorigenesis in patients with AIP mutations. Forty-one AIP mutations have been identified to date, including deletions, insertions, segmental duplications, non-sense and missense mutations and large deletions. Mutations usually disrupt the structure of the end of the protein molecule that plays a key role in the functioning of the molecule. Most studies have used sequencing methods with AIP primers covering just the exons and the area around them. However, a new technique called multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) reveals large genomic rearrangements in families who previously may have tested negative for germline AIP mutations by conventional sequencing.

Prevalence of AIP Mutations in Familial Isolated Pituitary Adenoma Families
Out of more than 170 FIPA families described in the literature, 30 families have been reported as having 24 different types of AIP mutations. However, the prevalence of AIP mutations is difficult to assess because not all of the reported FIPA families have been sequenced for AIP mutations, and the vast majority were not tested using MLPA. A best estimate is provided by the three largest FIPA family cohorts. Out of all 136 FIPA families sequenced for AIP, there are 27 (20%) families with AIP mutations. Looking only at families with acromegaly, 21 of 53 (40%) families have an AIP mutation.

Prevalence of AIP Mutations in 'Apparent' Sporadic Patients
Several studies have searched for AIP mutations in sporadic pituitary adenoma patients, i.e. in patients with no family history of pituitary disease. About 2% of these patients have an AIP mutation in their DNA (27 of 1,100 sporadic pituitary adenoma patients). When the tumour tissue itself is studied in pituitary adenoma samples, no somatic AIP mutations are found, i.e. no changes in the tumour itself. Most patients with AIP mutations in the "apparently" sporadic cohort have a diagnosis of acromegaly, but there are two reported cases of mutations in patients with Cushing’s disease.

Tumours with AIP mutations are diagnosed in subjects at significantly younger ages, and are larger than those found in FIPA patients without AIP mutations, as well as those found in patients with sporadic tumours. Patients with an AIP mutation have a mean age of diagnosis of 25 years compared with 40 years for those without AIP mutations. The youngest patient described as having AIP mutations is six years old (unpublished data), and around two-thirds of patients with AIP mutations are diagnosed at 25 years of age or under. Patients with AIP mutation patients have larger pituitary tumours, suggesting more aggressive disease. In our cohort, a poor biochemical response to somatostatin analogues (<50% reduction in growth hormone [GH]/insulin-like growth factor 1 [IGF-I]) occurred in eight of the 15 patients with familial acromegaly.