## Antidiabetic Treatment in Obese Patients with Type 2 Diabetes – Effects of Medication on Bodyweight

### a report by

### Andrew J Krentz

Consultant, Diabetes and Endocrinology, Southampton University Hospitals DOI:10.17925/EE.2007.00.02.32

Obesity is a major risk factor for the development of type 2 diabetes;<sup>1-3</sup> moreover, the presence of obesity in type 2 diabetes is associated with an increased risk of vascular complications associated with the disorder.<sup>4,5</sup> The majority of patients are overweight or obese at diagnosis of type 2 diabetes. Recent clinical trials have demonstrated that progression to diabetes in obese patients with impaired glucose tolerance can be prevented through weight reduction and increased levels of physical activity.<sup>6,7</sup> For patients who have developed type 2 diabetes, including improved metabolic control and a reduced need for antidiabetic medications.<sup>8–10</sup>

One of the challenges of using antidiabetic therapy in obese or overweight patients is the prospect of substantial iatrogenic weight gain with many widely used drug classes. Since lifestyle interventions, including weight loss, are usually very difficult to achieve and/or sustain, the practitioner frequently is left with few options when trying to avoid adverse effects of antidiabetic therapy that are counterproductive to efforts directed at weight loss.

New classes of antidiabetic agents mimic or potentiate the activities of incretin hormones, including the injectable glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) mimetics and the oral dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors. These drugs have benefits for glucose-dependent insulin secretion, suppression of glucagon secretion, inhibition of gastric emptying and appetite reduction.<sup>11-14</sup> They are effective in lowering glycated haemoglobin (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) and are associated with either weight loss (GLP-1 mimetics) or weight neutrality (oral DPP-4 inhibitors). Thus, these drugs promise to be useful in obese/overweight patients with type 2 diabetes.

A new anti-obesity agent, the selective cannabinoid receptor antagonist rimonabant, is effective in reducing weight and improving a range of metabolic defects in patients with type 2 diabetes. Such new agents should improve our ability to treat overweight or obese patients.



Andrew J Krentz is a Consultant in Diabetes and Endocrinology at Southampton University Hospitals and Honorary Senior Lecturer at the University of Southampton, UK. His clinical and research interests focus on the pathophysiology and management of type 2 diabetes and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Dr Krentz trained in diabetes and metabolic medicine in Birmingham, UK, and at the University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, US.

E: A.J.Krentz@soton.ac.uk

### Effects of Traditional Oral Antidiabetic Agents on Bodyweight

### Insulin Secretagogues

#### Sulfonylureas

As insulin secretion is relatively deficient in type 2 diabetes, use of insulin secretagogues is logical for patients in whom the  $\beta$ -cell defect is not too advanced. Treatment with sulfonylureas (SUs) reduces HbA1c by approximately 1–2%. SU treatment in the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) was associated with a significant reduction in microvascular complications and a trend towards reduction of myocardial infarction (MI). but no significant effect on diabetes-related or all-cause mortality.15 Weight gain and hypoglycaemia - the latter usually asymptomatic or mild – are common side effects with these agents.<sup>16–18</sup> Longer-acting agents (e.g. chlorpropamide, glyburide (glibenclamide) and sustainedrelease glipizide) are more likely to cause hypoglycaemia than shorteracting agents.<sup>18</sup> Weight gain averages about 1–4kg and generally stabilises over six months. In the UKPDS, for example, weight gain was 1.7kg with glyburide and 2.6kg with chlorpropamide. Weight increases with SU treatment may be partly due to anabolic effects of increased plasma insulin levels or reduced loss of calories as glucose in the urine.<sup>17</sup> Lesser degrees of weight gain are claimed for some SU formulations (e.g. extended-release glipizide).<sup>19</sup> However, individual metabolic responses to these agents vary, and weight gain should be anticipated with any agents in this class. SUs are, therefore, widely regarded as being less attractive as first-line therapy for obese patients; however, they remain in widespread use, often in combination with other drugs, notably metformin. When used in obese patients, treatment with SUs should be accompanied by a weight control programme of diet and exercise wherever possible.

### Rapid-acting Secretagogues

The non-sulfonylurea secretagogues repaglinide and nateglinide were developed to have a rapid onset and short metabolic half-life, resulting in preferential targeting of post-prandial hyperglycaemia. These agents reduce HbA<sub>1c</sub> to a degree broadly similar to that observed with SUs (about 1–2%), with repaglinide having a somewhat greater effect in this regard.<sup>16–18</sup> The drugs must be taken before each main meal. As with other insulin secretagogues, hypoglycaemia and weight gain are the most common side effects, although both appear to be reduced in frequency compared with SUs. In a study involving 576 patients with type 2 diabetes, less weight gain was observed with repaglinide than with glyburide (2.5kg versus 3.6kg, respectively) among pharmacotherapy-naïve patients – although previously treated patients did not exhibit less weight gain with repaglinide.<sup>20</sup> In general, no weight loss can be expected in patients switched from an SU to repaglinide. Nateglinide is a D-phenylalanine derivative with a faster onset and shorter duration of action than

repaglinide, a meglitinide derivative. In a large-scale placebo-controlled trial, weight gains were 0.3kg with nateglinide 60mg tid and 0.9kg with 120mg tid.<sup>21</sup> In a direct comparison with repaglinide, weight gain was 0.7kg with nateglinide 360mg/day and 1.8kg with repaglinide 6mg/day.<sup>22</sup>

### Insulin Sensitisers

### Thiazolidinediones

Thiazolidinediones (TZDs) act to increase insulin sensitivity in adipose tissue, muscle and the liver.<sup>23</sup> Rosiglitazone and pioglitazone reduce HbA<sub>1c</sub> by about 0.5–1.5%.<sup>16–18</sup> However, these agents are associated with weight gain. A small proportion of patients develop leg oedema, which is attributed to fluid retention; heart failure is a concern in vulnerable patients (and is discussed below). Weight gain is generally similar to that seen with SUs (i.e. about 1-4kg, with stabilisation over six to 12 months). Of note, weight gain may be increased with concomitant treatment with other medications, including insulin.<sup>24</sup> The weight gain with TZDs is partly attributable to adipogenesis, but recent data suggest that fluid retention may in fact be the main cause.<sup>25</sup> There is some evidence that fat is redistributed away from visceral deposits, which are more closely associated with insulin resistance, to subcutaneous deposits.<sup>16–18</sup> TZDs have complex effects on atherogenic lipid profiles with some notable differences between the two agents.<sup>26</sup> In the secondary prevention PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events (PROactive) trial in highrisk patients with type 2 diabetes, pioglitazone was associated with a statistically significant 16% reduction in the secondary end-point of allcause mortality, non-fatal MI or stroke. However, an increased frequency of heart failure and oedema without heart failure, as well as a 4kg weight increase compared with placebo, detracted from the positive impact on vascular events.<sup>27,28</sup> In the EU, TZDs are contraindicated in patients with a history of heart failure or current evidence of heart failure; their use in combination with insulin is contraindicated because of a perceived increased risk of heart failure. Recent US guidelines urge a cautious approach to TZD use in patients with evidence of heart failure. TZDs are also contraindicated in patients with active liver disease, although their effects on the liver are still under investigation; reduced levels of hepatic transaminases have been reported in several studies.

### Metformin

Metformin, the only widely available biguanide, acts primarily by reducing hepatic glucose production and thereby reducing fasting hyperglycaemia in the presence of a sufficient amount of insulin. The drug improves post-receptor insulin signalling to increase cellular insulin sensitivity.<sup>17,29</sup> Metformin does not stimulate insulin secretion and may decrease hyperinsulinemia, an action regarded as potentially beneficial in obese patients. The agent reduces HbA<sub>1c</sub> by approximately 1-2%.<sup>16,17,29</sup> Metformin also results in small beneficial changes in the atherogenic lipid profile and may exert positive effects on other cardiovascular risk factors.<sup>17</sup>

In the UKPDS, metformin therapy in obese patients (mean body mass index (BMI) approximately 32kg/m<sup>2</sup>) randomised to the drug after an inadequate response to diet was associated with statistically significant reductions of 32% in any diabetes-related end-point, 39% in MI and 42% in diabetes-related mortality.<sup>30</sup> In the Diabetes Prevention Program, metformin therapy reduced the risk of diabetes by 31% in obese patients with impaired glucose tolerance.<sup>7</sup> Metformin is not associated with weight gain and can sometimes promote modest weight loss. In the UKPDS, obese patients randomised to metformin gained 1–2kg compared with gains of 5–7kg in

# Table 1: Treatment-emergent Adverse Effects Occurring in $\ge 5\%$ of Exenatide Patients in Three 30-week Placebo-controlled Trials

Event (%)	Placebo bid (n=483)	Exenatide bid (n=963)
Nausea	18	44
Vomiting	4	13
Diarrhoea	6	13
Feeling jittery	4	9
Dizziness	6	9
Headache	6	9
Dyspepsia	3	6

Adapted from Byetta [package insert]. San Diego, Calif; Amylin Pharmaceuticals; 2006.44

Table 2: Vildagliptin Adverse Event Profile (Incidence  ${\geq}5\%$ ) in Monotherapy Trials with 100mg/day

Event (%)	Vildagliptin 100mg daily* (n=1,530)	Metformin to 2g daily (n=252)	Rosiglitazone 8mg daily (n=267)	Placebo (n=255)
Any	63.6	75.4	64.0	60.0
Nasopharyngitis	7.6	9.5	7.5	7.1
Headache	7.1	7.1	5.2	5.9
Dizziness	5.8	6.0	4.1	4.3
Upper respiratory	4.6	6.0	3.0	2.7
tract infection				
Diarrhoea	3.1	26.2	2.6	3.1
Nausea	2.9	10.3	0.7	3.9
Abdominal pain	1.2	7.1	0.7	1.2

\*Pooled data from monotherapy trials with 50mg bid and 100mg qd. Adapted with permission from Nathwani A. Presented at: American Diabetes Association 66th Scientific Sessions, Washington, DC, June 13, 2006.<sup>61</sup>

patients receiving SU or insulin treatment.<sup>30</sup> In the Diabetes Prevention Program, metformin was associated with weight loss of 2.1kg.<sup>7</sup>

Co-administration of metformin with insulin has the potential to reduce insulin-associated weight gain.<sup>31</sup> The mechanisms of weight neutrality or weight loss associated with metformin in this context are not completely understood. Suggestions include a reduction in hyperinsulinaemia, a reduced risk of hypoglycaemia and hence lower calorie consumption, or decreased food intake as a consequence of the well-recognised gastrointestinal (GI) side effects of the drug; these often include a degree of anorexia.

Overall, these characteristics of metformin make it a preferred therapy for obese patients with type 2 diabetes. Use of the drug is limited, however, by risk of lactic acidosis – a rare condition but one associated with a high case-fatality rate. For this reason, metformin is limited to patients with renal function sufficient to avoid drug accumulation and is contraindicated in patients with cardiac or respiratory insufficiency (e.g. congestive heart failure (CHF)), other conditions associated with hypoxia or reduced perfusion, hepatic dysfunction, alcoholism or history of metabolic acidosis.<sup>16–18</sup> Use of metformin is also limited by the high frequency of GI adverse effects; a lesser proportion of patients cannot tolerate metformin at higher doses, whereas a minority are unable to tolerate the drug at any dose.

### a-Glucosidase Inhibitors

The a-glucosidase inhibitors (AGIs) reduce the rate of polysaccharide digestion in the proximal small intestine, thereby reducing post-prandial

### Table 3: Adverse Event Categories and Most Frequent Adverse Events (≥5%) in Patients Receiving Rimonabant 5mg or 20mg or Placebo in RIO-Diabetes

	Placebo	Rimonabant	Pimonahant
	(n=348)		20mg (n=339)
Safety data at 1 year	(1-5 10)	5mg (n=550)	2011g (11–333)
(% of patients)			
Overall drop-out rate	34	35	32
Any adverse event	79	82	85
Serious adverse event	4	8	8
Discontinuation due to	5	8	15
adverse event			
Adverse events with incidence			
≥5% in any group (% of patients)			
Nausea	6	6	12
Nasopharyngitis	21	16	12
Dizziness	5	3	9
Arthralgia	8	10	9
Headache	9	8	8
Diarrhoea	7	6	7
Back pain	7	6	7
Upper respiratory	9	8	7
tract infection			
Vomiting	2	4	6
Hypoglycaemia	2	1	5
Fatigue	4	5	5
Anxiety	3	1	5

Reprinted from Scheen AJ, et al., Efficacy and tolerability of rimonabant in overweight or obese patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised controlled study, Lancet, 2006;368:1660–1672,<sup>60</sup> with permission from Elsevier.

hyperglycaemia, by inhibiting intestinal a-glucosidase enzymes.<sup>16–18</sup> These agents (acarbose, miglitol, voglibose) are taken three times daily with meals that contain digestible carbohydrates. They reduce HbA<sub>1c</sub> by 0.5-0.8%. Acarbose treatment was associated with a 25% reduction in risk of progression to diabetes in patients with impaired glucose tolerance in the Study to Prevent Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus (STOP-NIDDM) trial.<sup>32</sup> AGIs pose little risk for hypoglycaemia as monotherapy, but hypoglycaemia that occurs when they are used in combination with other drugs, such as SUs, must be treated with oral glucose rather than complex carbohydrates. AGIs may be associated with a small reduction in bodyweight. Carbohydrate malabsorption and associated marked weight loss do not occur with these agents, since carbohydrate absorption is moved more distally along the intestinal tract. However, greater amounts of undigested oligosaccharides are passed to the large bowel, resulting in troublesome GI side effects, including abdominal discomfort, flatulence and diarrhoea. GI side effects have resulted in discontinuation of AGIs in 25-45% of patients in clinical trials.

### Insulin

Insulin, the most effective glucose-lowering drug, is sometimes the treatment of choice in patients with type 2 diabetes and is often required when glycaemic goals cannot be obtained using oral agents.<sup>33</sup> However, the adverse effects of insulin often lead to sub-optimal results in clinical practice. Most important from the perspective of the patient is the substantial weight gain, ranging from 2kg to nearly 10kg with various formulations, that so often accompanies insulin treatment.<sup>34–37</sup> This weight gain poses particular problems for overweight or obese patients who usually have pre-existing insulin resistance, weight-related health issues and longer-

term health risks. Gains in bodyweight appear to increase in proportion to daily insulin dose and plasma insulin concentration.<sup>24</sup> The magnitude of weight gain may, to some extent, also reflect the timing of insulin injections, co-administration of oral antidiabetic agents and risk of hypoglycaemia. In fact, the risk of severe hypoglycaemia is relatively low in obese insulin-resistant patients treated with insulin in the early course of the disorder.<sup>33</sup>

### **New Antidiabetic Agents**

The incretin hormone GLP-1, secreted by the enteroendocrine L cells of the GI tract in response to eating, is rapidly degraded by the proteolytic enzyme DPP-4. GLP-1 mimetics (or GLP-1 receptor agonists) are parenteral formulations that resist degradation by DPP-4 and competitively bind to G-protein-coupled cellular GLP-1 receptors on islet  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  cells,^{13,14} thereby mimicking the effects of endogenous GLP-1. The DPP-4 inhibitors are orally active agents (incretin enhancers) that selectively bind to DPP-4 and block the action of the enzyme in degrading GLP-1; this results in persistent higher levels of active GLP-1 after eating. As already mentioned, the biologic actions of GLP-1 include improvements in glucose-dependent β-cell function, suppression of glucagon secretion, reduced gastric emptying, and increased satiety. In addition, GLP-1 has been shown to stimulate β-cell expansion in pre-clinical studies, a finding that has also been observed with chronic DPP-4 inhibition using the DPP-4 inhibitor vildagliptin in animal models.11,12,38

### GLP-1 Mimetics – Exenatide, Liraglutide

In 2005 exenatide, the first licensed incretin-based treatment, was approved as a twice-daily subcutaneous injection before meals in patients who are receiving metformin and/or SU and who have not achieved glycaemic control. The addition of exenatide to metformin and/or SU reduced  ${\rm HbA}_{\rm 1c}$  by approximately 1.0% and produced significant weight loss ranging from 0.9kg (when added to metformin/SU) to 2.5kg (when added to metformin) at the approved 5µg and 10µg doses in six-month studies.<sup>39–41</sup> In a relatively small group of overweight metformin-treated patients followed for 82 weeks, there was maintained reduction in HbA1c and continued weight loss (5.3kg).<sup>42</sup> In a comparison with insulin glargine, both treatments reduced HbA1c by 1.1%, and exenatide produced a 2.3kg weight loss compared with a weight gain of 1.8kg with insulin glargine.43 Severe hypoglycaemia has been infrequent with use of exenatide, but hypoglycaemia occurred in 14-36% of patients receiving SU or metformin/SU; accordingly, a dose reduction in SU is recommended when the agents are combined.44 In addition to its need for twice-daily injection, exenatide use may be limited by a high frequency of GI adverse events, including nausea and, less commonly, vomiting (see Table 1). A new long-acting formulation to be administered once weekly is in development that may offer substantially better prospects in terms of patient acceptability.

Liraglutide is an acylated GLP-1 mimetic in development that has a half-life long enough to permit once-daily subcutaneous dosing. In a recent 14-week study in obese patients, liraglutide once daily significantly reduced HbA<sub>1c</sub> at doses of 0.65, 1.25 and 1.9mg (1.7% reduction at highest dose); liraglutide therapy was associated with dose-dependent reductions in bodyweight of 3.0kg at the highest dose compared with a loss of 1.2kg with placebo.<sup>45</sup> GI adverse effects were common but appeared to decrease over time.

### DPP-4 Inhibitors – Sitagliptin, Vildagliptin

Of the oral DPP-4 inhibitors in development, sitagliptin and vildagliptin are at the most advanced stage, the former having been approved for use in patients with type 2 diabetes in October 2006 in the US and the latter approved for use in Europe in October 2007. Both agents have been shown to be effective in reducing HbA<sub>1c</sub> as monotherapy and when added to metformin, SU or TZD therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes.<sup>12,46-52</sup> HbA<sub>1c</sub> reductions generally have ranged from 0.5 to 1.1%, with greater reductions seen in patients with higher baseline HbA<sub>1c</sub>.<sup>12</sup> A pooled analysis of 1,301 drug-naïve patients receiving vildagliptin 100mg/day indicates reductions of 1.1% overall, 1.3% in patients with baseline HbA<sub>1c</sub> >8.0% and 1.7% in those with baseline levels >9.0%.<sup>12</sup>

Modelling studies have indicated improved  $\beta$ -cell function during treatment with these agents.<sup>11–13,53,54</sup> A 52-week meal-test study showed improved  $\beta$ -cell function (insulin secretion measured as suprabasal C-peptide area under the curve divided by glucose area under the curve during standardised meal) at 12 weeks in patients who had vildagliptin added to metformin compared with metformin; this improvement was maintained over 52 weeks.<sup>53</sup> In another study, improved secretory tone was observed, whereby treatment increased the insulin secretory rate at any given glucose level compared with placebo.<sup>54</sup>

The DDP-4 inhibitors appear to have side effect profiles similar to placebo<sup>12</sup> (see *Table 2*) and are not associated with any marked risk of hypoglycaemia as monotherapy. For example, in trials hypoglycaemia in vildagliptin-treated patients has been mild and infrequent, with rates similar to those observed with rosiglitazone (one event in each group over six months)<sup>51</sup> and metformin (<1% of patients over one year);<sup>48</sup> in an add-on study with insulin, vildagliptin treatment was associated with a decrease in the frequency and severity of hypoglycaemia compared with ongoing insulin treatment as monotherapy.<sup>55</sup>

The DPP-4 inhibitors generally appear to be weight-neutral, 12,46,49,51 although some significant reductions in bodyweight have been observed in comparative studies. For example, vildagliptin produced a 0.3kg reduction in weight compared with a 1.6kg increase with rosiglitazone;<sup>51</sup> among obese patients, vildagliptin was associated with a 1.1kg decrease and rosiglitazone with a 1.7kg increase. Similarly, a 52-week study in metformin-treated patients with inadequate glycaemic control showed that sitagliptin was associated with a 1.5kg decrease compared with a 1.1kg increase with glipizide.12 Conversely, a recent 24-week study with sitagliptin in patients who had inadequate glycaemic control while on glimepiride alone or in combination with metformin found that sitagliptin add-on therapy was associated with a modest but statistically significant bodyweight increase in the entire patient cohort compared with placebo.56 Other potential benefits of these medications are suggested by a significant reduction in GI side effects with the addition of vildagliptin to metformin, compared with ongoing metformin treatment as monotherapy.49

The findings with oral DPP-4 inhibitors are promising. Although they appear to lack the consistently significant reduction in bodyweight associated with GLP-1 mimetics, the weight neutrality of these agents together with the absence of a need for injection, the apparent absence of significant GI adverse effects and the low risk of hypoglycaemia suggest utility particularly, although not exclusively, in obese patients. If experience in clinical practice substantiates the benefits suggested in

these data, clinicians will have an exciting new option for obese patients with type 2 diabetes.

### Anti-obesity Drugs – Rimonabant

Rimonabant is a selective cannabinoid-1 receptor blocker that has been approved for use in Europe as an adjunct to diet and exercise in obese patients or overweight patients with cardiometabolic risk factors such as type 2 diabetes or dyslipidaemia. While it is not primarily a glucoselowering agent, the effect of rimonabant on bodyweight, glycaemia and a range of cardiometabolic risk factors may make it useful in obese patients with diabetes. The Rimonabant-in-Obesity (RIO) series of trials in overweight or obese individuals has shown that rimonabant consistently reduces weight and waist circumference and improves lipid profile and other aspects of cardiovascular risk.<sup>57–60</sup>

The RIO-Diabetes trial compared rimonabant 5mg or 20mg with placebo for one year in more than 1,000 overweight or obese patients with type 2 diabetes who were receiving metformin (~65%) or SU (~35%).<sup>60</sup> The 20mg dose produced a weight reduction of 5.3kg and reduced HbA<sub>1c</sub> by 0.6%. At this dose, a significantly greater proportion of patients with metabolic syndrome at baseline no longer met the criteria for metabolic syndrome at one year (although there was no significant difference between rimonabant and placebo with regard to proportion of patients developing metabolic syndrome during the study).

Discontinuation rates were high in both rimonabant and placebo groups in all of the RIO trials, a finding that has been observed in some other anti-obesity drug trials. Although discontinuation rates were similar in all patient groups in RIO-Diabetes (see *Table 3*), discontinuation due to adverse events was more frequent in patients receiving rimonabant 20mg (15%) compared with placebo (5%). The most frequent reasons for discontinuation in the 20mg group were depressed mood disorders (11 patients, 3%), nausea (five patients, 1.5%) and dizziness (three patients, 0.9%). There is concern over the frequency of depression and depressed mood disorders observed in rimonabant-treated patients; monitoring for such effects is prudent, and additional study and experience are necessary to quantify any risks associated with these effects.

### Conclusions

Obesity is a major – and modifiable – contributor to an alarming increase in type 2 diabetes worldwide. Overweight and obese patients should be encouraged to start and maintain a diet and exercise programme to lose weight; this should help in moving towards glycaemic goals and improving other metabolic risk factors. Unfortunately, although lifestyle interventions can be effective in achieving metabolic control, patients find it very difficult to maintain lifestyle changes.

The recent consensus statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) recommends initial treatment with lifestyle intervention and metformin – where safe and tolerated – for all patients with type 2 diabetes, whether obese or not. The statement also emphasises the need for rapid addition of complementary medications or changes in regimens to promptly achieve and maintain glycaemia at levels as close to normal as possible.<sup>18</sup> When considering treatment of overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes, practitioners must remain aware of the potential for weight gain that is associated with many antidiabetic medications. Avoiding iatrogenic weight gain is a first step towards improved metabolic control

and, it is to be hoped, better long-term outcomes. For obese patients with multiple cardiometabolic risk factors, rimonabant may prove to be a useful intervention. Other new agents such as the incretin-enhancing oral

DPP-4 inhibitors and the incretin mimetics also represent major advances in therapy. These agents seem likely to have considerable utility in overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes.

- Ford ES, Williamson DF, Liu S, Weight change and diabetes incidence: findings from a national cohort of US adults, Am J Epidemiol, 1997;146:214–22.
- Resnick H, Valsania P, Halter J, Lin X, Relation of weight gain and weight loss on subsequent diabetes risk in overweight adults, J Epidemiol Community Health, 2000;54:596–602.
- Field AE, Coakley EH, Must A, et al., Impact of overweight on the risk of developing common chronic diseases during a 10year period, Arch Intern Med, 2001;161:1581–6.
- Adler A, Obesity and target organ damage: diabetes, Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord, 2002;26(Suppl. 4):S11–4.
- Klein S, Burke LE, Bray GA, et al., Clinical implications of obesity with specific focus on cardiovascular disease: a statement for professionals from the American Heart Association Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism: endorsed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation, Circulation, 2004;110:2952–67.
- Tuomilehto J, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JC, et al., Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance, N Engl J Med, 2001;344:1343–50.
- Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group, Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin, N Engl J Med, 2002;346:393–403.
- UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group, United Kingdom prospective diabetes study (UKPDS) 13: relative efficacy of randomly allocated diet, sulphonylurea, insulin, or metformin in patients with newly diagnosed non-insulin dependent diabetes followed for three years, *BMJ*, 1995;310:83–8.
- Manley SE, Stratton IM, Cull CA, et al., United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Effect of three months' diet after diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes on plasma lipids and lipoproteins (UKPDS 45). *Diabet Med*, 2000;17:518–23.
- 10. Scheen AJ, Current management strategies for coexisting diabetes mellitus and obesity, *Drugs*, 2003;63:1165–84.
- 11. Ahrén B, Vildagliptin: an inhibitor of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 with antidiabetic properties, *Drugs*, 2006;15:431–42.
- Barnett A, DPP-4 inhibitors and their potential role in the management of type 2 diabetes, Int J Clin Pract, 2006;60: 1454–70.
- Drucker DJ, Nauck MA, The incretin system: glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in type 2 diabetes, *Lancet*, 2006;368:1696–705.
- Riddle MC, Drucker DJ, Emerging therapies mimicking the effects of amylin and glucagon-like peptide 1, *Diabetes Care*, 2006;29:435–49.
- United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study Group, Intensive blood glucose control with sulphonylureas and insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33), *Lancet*, 1998;32:837–53.
- Inzucchi S, Oral antihyperglycemic therapy for type 2 diabetes, JAMA, 2002;287:360–72.
- 17. Krentz AJ, Bailey CJ, Oral antidiabetic agents: current role in type 2 diabetes mellitus, *Drugs*, 2005;65:385–411.
- Nathan DM, Buse JB, Davidson MB, et al., Management of Hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: a consensus algorithm for the initiation and adjustment of therapy, *Diabetes Care*, 2006;29:1963–72.
- Cefalu WT, Bell-Farrow A, Wang ZQ, et al., Effect of glipizide GITS on insulin sensitivity, glycemic indices, and abdominal fat composition in NIDDM, *Drug Dev Res*, 1998;44:1–7.
- Marbury T, Huang WC, Strange P, Lebovitz H, Repaglinide versus glyburide: a one-year comparison trial, *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*, 1999;43:155–66.
- 21. Starlix [prescribing information]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; 2006.
- Rosenstock J, Hassman DR, Madder RD, et al., Repaglinide versus nateglinide monotherapy, *Diabetes Care*, 2004;27: 1265–70.
- 23. Yki-Järvinen H, Thiazolidinediones, N Engl J Med, 2004;351: 1106–18.
- 24. Purnell JQ, Weyer C, Weight effect of current and

experimental drugs for diabetes mellitus, *Treat Endocrinol*, 2003;2:33–47.

- Basu A, Jensen MD, McCann F, et al., Effects of pioglitazone versus glipizide on body fat distribution, body water content, and hemodynamics in type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes Care*, 2006;29:510–14.
- Krentz AJ, Comparative safety of newer oral antidiabetic drugs, Expert Opin Drug Saf, 2006;5:827–34.
- Dormandy JA, Charbonnel B, Eckland DJA, et al., Secondary prevention of macrovascular event in patients with type 2 diabetes in the PROactive Study (PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events): a randomized controlled trial, *Lancet*, 2005;366:1279–89.
- Yki-Järvinen H, The PROactive study: some answers, many questions, *Lancet*, 2005;366:1241–2.
- 29. Kirpichnikov D, McFarlane SI, Sowers JR, Metformin: an update, *Ann Intern Med*, 2002;137:25–33.
- UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group, Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34), Lancet, 1998;352:854–65.
- Mäkimattila S, Nikkilä K, Yki-Jarvinen H, Causes of weight gain during insulin therapy with and without metformin in patients with Type II diabetes mellitus, *Diabetologia*, 1999;42:406–12.
- Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Gomis R, et al., Acarbose for the prevention of diabetes mellitus the STOP-NIDDM randomized trial. STOP-NIDDM Research Group, JAMA, 2003;290:486–94.
- Evans A, Krentz AJ, Benefits and risks of transfer from oral agents to insulin in type 2 diabetes mellitus, *Drug Saf*, 1999:21:7–22.
- Reichard P, Pihl M, Mortality and treatment side effects during long-term intensified conventional insulin treatment in the Stockholm Diabetes Intervention Study, *Diabetes*, 1994;43:313–17.
- Henry RR, Gumbiner B, Ditzler T, et al., Intensive conventional insulin therapy for type II diabetes: metabolic effects during 6mo outpatient trial, *Diabetes Care*, 1993;16:21–31.
- Chow CC, Tsang LW, Sorensen JP, et al., Comparison of insulin with or without continuation of oral hypoglycemic agents in the treatment of secondary failure in NIDDM patients, *Diabetes Care*, 1998;18:307–14.
- Riddle MC, Schneider J, The Glimepiride Combination Group. Beginning insulin treatment of obese patients with evening 70/30 insulin plus glimepiride versus insulin alone, *Diabetes Care*, 1998;21:1052–7.
- Duttaroy A, Voelker F, Merriam K, et al., The DPP-4 inhibitor vildagliptin increases pancreatic beta-cell neogenesis and decreases apoptosis [abstract], Presented at: American Diabetes Association—65th Scientific Sessions; June 10–14, 2005; San Diego, Calif; Abstract 572-P.
- Buse JB, Henry RR, Han J, Fineman MS, Baron AD, for the Exenatide-113 Clinical Study Group, Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control and weight over 30 weeks in sulfonylurea-treated patients with type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes Care*, 2004;27:2628–35.
- DeFronzo RA, Ratner RE, Han J, et al., Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control and weight over 30 weeks in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes Care*, 2005;28:1092–1100.
- Kendall DM, Riddle MC, Rosenstock J, et al., Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control over 30 weeks in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin and a sulfonylurea, *Diabetes Care*, 2005;29:1083–91.
- Ratner RE, Maggs D, Nielsen LL, et al., Long term effects of exenatide therapy over 82 weeks in over-weight metformintreated patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, *Diab Obes Metab*, 2006;8:419–28.
- Heine RJ, Van Gaal LF, Johns D, et al., Exenatide versus insulin glargine in patients with suboptimally controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial, *Ann Intern Med*, 2005;143: 559–69.
- 44. Byetta [package insert]. San Diego, Calif; Amblin Pharmaceuticals; 2006

- Vilsboll T, Zdravkovic M, Le-Thi T, et al., Liraglutide significantly improves glycemic control, and lowers body weight without risk of either major or minor hypoglycemic episodes in subject with type 2 diabetes, Diabetes, 2006;55(Suppl. 1):A462, Abstracts A27–8, 115-OR.
- 46. Aschner P, Kipnes M, Lunceford J, et al., Sitagliptin monotherapy improved glycemic control in the fasting and postprandial states and beta-cell function after 24 weeks in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) [abstract], *Diabetes*, 2006;55(Suppl. 1):A462. Abstract 1995-PO.
- Dejager S, Baron MA, Razac S, et al., Efficacy of vildagliptin in drug-naïve patients with type 2 diabetes, *Diabetologia*, 2006;49(Suppl. 1):479, Abstract 0791.
- Dejager S, Lebeaut A, Couturier A, Schweizer A, Sustained reduction in HbA<sub>1c</sub> during one-year treatment with vildagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) [abstract], *Diabetes*, 2006;55(Suppl. 1):A29, Abstract 120-OR.
- Garber A, Camisasca RP, Ehrsam E, et al., Vildagliptin added to metformin improves glycemic control and may mitigate metformin-induced GI side effects in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) [abstract], *Diabetes*, 2006;55(Suppl. 1):A29, Abstract 121-OR.
- Karasik A, Charbonnel B, Liu J, et al., Sitagliptin added to ongoing metformin therapy enhanced glycemic control and beta-cell function in patients with type 2 diabetes [abstract], *Diabetes*, 2006;55(Suppl. 1):A119, Abstract 501-P.
- Rosenstock J, Baron M, Schweizer A, et al., Vildagliptin is as effective as rosiglitazone in lowering HbA<sub>1c</sub> but without weight gain in drug-naïve patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) [abstract], *Diabetes*, 2006;55(Suppl. 1):A133, Abstract 557-P.
- Rosenstock J, Brazg R, Andryuk PJ, et al., Addition of sitagliptin to pioglitazone improved glycemic control with neutral weight effect over 24 weeks in inadequately controlled type 2 diabetes (T2DM) [abstract], *Diabetes*, 2006;55(Suppl. 1):A132, Abstract 556-P.
- 53. Ahrén B, Pacini G, Foley J, et al., Improved meal-related b-cell function and insulin sensitivity by the dipetidyl peptidase IV inhibitor vildagliptin in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes over 1 year, *Diabetes Care*, 2005;28:1936–40.
- Mari A, Sallas M, He YL, et al., Vildagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitor, improves model-assessed \_-cell function in patients with type 2 diabetes, J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 2005;90:4888–94.
- Fonseca V, Dejager S, Albrecht D, et al., Vildagliptin as addon to insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) [abstract], Diabetes, 2006;55(Suppl. 1):A111, Abstract 467-P.
- 56. Hermansen K, Kipnes M, Luo E, et al., Sitagliptin Study 035 Group. Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, sitagliptin, in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled on glimepiride alone or on glimepiride and metformin. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2007;9(5):733–45.
- Després JP, Golay A, Sjöström L, Effects of rimonabant on metabolic risk factors in overweight patients with dyslipidemia, N Engl J Med, 2005;353:2121–34.
- VanGaal LF, Rissanen AM, Scheen AJ, et al., Effects of the cannabinoid-1 receptor blocker rimonabant on weight reduction and cardiovascular risk factors in overweight patients: 1-year experience from the RIO-Europe study, *Lancet*, 2005;365:1389–97.
- Pi-Sunyer FX, Aronne LJ, Heshmati HM, et al., Effect of rimonabant, a cannabinoid-1 receptor blocker, on weight and cardiometabolic risk factors in overweight or obese patients. RIO-North America: a randomized controlled trial, *JAMA*, 2006; 295:761–75.
- Scheen AJ, Finer N, Hollander P, et al., Efficacy and tolerability of rimonabant in overweight or obese patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled study, *Lancet*, 2006;368:1660–72.
- Nathwani A, The use of vildagliptin for treatment of patients iwth type 2 diabetes mellitus, Presented at: American Diabetes Association—66th Scientific Sessions; June 9–13, 2006; Washington, DC.