Predicting and Preventing Cardiovascular Risk in Patients with Diabetes

a report by Paul Valensi

Head, Department of Endocrinology-Diabetology-Nutrition, Jean Verdier Hospital DOI:10.17925/EE.2007.00.01.49

The cardiovascular (CV) risk in patients with diabetes is estimated to be two or three times higher than in the non-diabetic population. The prognosis of patients with diabetes following myocardial infarction (MI) remains poorer in comparison with non-diabetics. Besides traditional risk factors, some factors related to diabetes, including blood glucose control, are responsible for the acceleration of vascular disease.

How to Evaluate the Cardiovascular Risk in Patients with Diabetes?

Coronary mortality has been suggested to be as high in diabetic patients without coronary disease as in non-diabetic patients with coronary disease, which led some authors to consider diabetes as a 'coronary equivalent'. This has been shown in a Finnish and a North American register.^{1–3} Data coming from the autopsic register of Rochester are in line with this assessment since, in the group of people over 65 who died, the prevalence rates of high-grade coronary lesions and multiple coronary lesions were as high in diabetic patients without antemortem coronary disease.⁴

However, contradictory data have been reported. In particular, in a Scottish cohort including patients with recently diagnosed type 2 diabetes and non-diabetic patients just after the onset of an MI, the survival rate was markedly better in the first group.⁵ In fact CV risk correlates with diabetes duration as shown in the Health Care Professionals Studies in the US.^{6,7}

In the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS), the incidence of MI correlated with age and several potentially modifiable CV risk factors: age, blood pressure and low-density lipoprotein-cholestrol (LDL-C) levels, tobacco consumption and mean glycated haemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) levels at follow-up, with a protective influence of high-density lipoprotein-cholestrol (HDL-C) levels.⁸ Nephropathy, even at the stage of microalbuminuria, is associated with an increased CV risk,⁹ particularly in patients with persistent microalbuminuria.¹⁰

All these factors should be taken into account when evaluating the CV risk in diabetic patients. Another way to assess this risk may be based on the detection of silent myocardial ischaemia (SMI), in particular in patients over 60 years of age or after 10 years of type 2 diabetes.¹¹ Indeed, the prevalence rate of SMI is very high – around 30% – in diabetic patients with two or three other CV risk factors. SMI can be considered as an integrator of the overall risk. This is supported by the demonstration that SMI is a potent predictor of CV events with a two- or three-fold higher event incidence in comparison with patients free of SMI.^{12,13} The risk related to SMI seems to be increased even in the patients with cardiac autonomic neuropathy assessed on abnormal heart rate variations.¹⁴

How to Prevent Cardiovascular Complications in Patients with Diabetes?

The prognosis of patients with diabetes following MI can be markedly improved if coronary revascularisation is used when possible in nondiabetic patients.¹⁵ Statin treatment should also be prescribed in diabetic patients with coronary disease and the Treating New Targets (TNT) study has shown that the cardiac prognosis is improved when LDL-C levels are reduced to 70mg/dl, instead of 100mg/dl.¹⁶

A number of studies have shown that the prognosis post-MI is related to blood glucose levels.^{17,18} Experimental findings show in favour of the deleterious role of blood glucose on the arteries.¹⁹ This highlights the importance of improving blood glucose in these patients. The Diabetes Mellitus, Insulin Glucose Infusion in Acute Myocardial Infarction (DIGAMI) studies have confirmed that glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) levels were significant and independent mortality predictors. The first DIGAMI trial supported the use of intensive insulin treatment after MI in patients with diabetes.²⁰ These results were not confirmed by the DIGAMI 2 trial, which improved the first study's protocol and included more patients.²¹ The DIGAMI 2 trial suggests that type 2 diabetic patients should receive intensive glucose control after MI, but that this can be achieved by alternative, and possibly more convenient, treatments than insulin. Therefore, in practice, antidiabetic treatment after MI can consist of insulin or other hypoglycaemic agents. However, insulin treatment is often necessary due to poor or unstable glycaemic control, and to contraindications associated with some oral agents. The PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events (PROactive) trial tested pioglitazone in addition to conventional therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes and CV disease. All-cause mortality, non-fatal MI and stroke were reduced, but the incidence of heart failure was increased.²² Therefore, glitazones may not be recommended for use during acute coronary syndromes.

In primary prevention, some trials suggest that blood glucose control may reduce CV risk. In the UKPDS, a 0.9% HbA_{1c} reduction was associated with a 16% reduction of MI incidence with a borderline significance.²³ In type 1 diabetes, the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) extension of the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) strongly endorses early



Paul Valensi is Head of the Department of Endocrinology-Diabetology-Nutrition at the Jean Verdier Hospital and Director of the Laboratory of Nutrition, Metabolic Diseases and Cardiovascular Prevention at Paris-Nord University. Professor Valensi was Chairman of Neurodiab, the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) Study Group on Diabetic Neuropathy (2003–2006). He has authored approximately 180 articles and 30 book chapters. intensive blood-glucose control with an insulin regimen consisting of multiple injections or continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion, which may reduce the occurrence of CV complications by 50% after long-term follow-up.²⁴

As to lipid management, statins have been tested in several trials in primary prevention. According to a recent meta-analysis including around 25,000 patients with diabetes, a 1mmol/I LDL-C reduction is associated with a 25% decrease in coronary events.²⁵ When looking at these trials, it appears more benefit is brought by statins in patients with a high CV risk – as shown in the Heart Protection Study (HPS) - and a lower benefit in those with a low risk - as in the Atorvastatin Study for Prevention of coronary heart disease End-points in Non insulin-dependent diabetes (ASPEN) study.²⁶ In patients with type 2 diabetes and a low CV risk, fenofibrate has been tested in a controlled trial - the Fenofibrate Intervention and Event lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study. In the primary prevention cohort it can be calculated that a 0.45mmol/l LDL-C reduction was associated with a 20% decrease in coronary events, which might result from other beneficial effects of fenofibrate on lipid metabolism and inflammation process.27 These data suggest that type 2 diabetic patients should be treated by statins if the risk is high, and by statins or fenofibrate according to the lipid profile when the risk is low.²⁶ In the FIELD study, the combination of statins with fenofibrate was safe. This combination can be used in some patients to lower LDL-C levels to 100mg/dl and normalise triglyceride levels.

Regarding blood pressure control, the UKPDS has clearly shown that blood pressure (BP) reduction significantly decreases the incidence of

- Haffner SM, Lehto S, Ronnemaa T, et al., Mortality from coronary heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with and without prior myocardial infarction, N Engl J Med, 1998;339:229–34.
- Juutilainen A, Lehto S, Ronnemaa T, et al., Type 2 diabetes as a "coronary heart disease equivalent": an 18-year prospective population-based study in Finnish subjects, *Diabetes Care*, 2005;2:2901–7.
- Mukamal KJ, Nesto RW, Cohen MC, et al., Impact of diabetes on long-term survival after acute myocardial infarction: comparability of risk with prior myocardial infarction, *Diabetes Care*, 2001;24:1422–7.
- Goraya TY, Leibson CL, Palumbo PJ, et al., Coronary atherosclerosis in diabetes mellitus: a population-based autopsy study, JACC, 2002;40:936–53.
- Evans JM, Wang J, Morris AD, Comparison of cardiovascular risk between patients with type 2 diabetes and those who had had a myocardial infarction: cross sectional and cohort studies, *Br Med J*, 2002;324:939–42.
- Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Solomon CG, et al., The impact of diabetes mellitus on mortality from all causes and coronary heart disease in women: 20 years of follow-up, Arch Intern Med, 2001;161:1717–23.
- Cho E, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, et al., The impact of diabetes mellitus and prior myocardial infarction on mortality from all causes and from coronary heart disease in men, J Am Coll Cardiol, 2002;40:954–60.
- Turner RC, Millns H, Neil HA, et al., Risk factors for coronary artery disease in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS:23), Br Med J, 1998;316:823–8.
- Miettinen H, Haffner SM, Lehto S, et al., Proteinuria predicts stroke and other atherosclerotic vascular disease events in nondiabetic and non-insulin-dependent diabetic subjects, *Stroke*, 1996;27:2033–9.
- Ibsen H, Olsen MH, Wachtell K, et al., Reduction in albuminuria translates to reduction in cardiovascular events in hypertensive patients: losartan intervention for endpoint reduction in hypertension study, *Hypertension*, 2005;45:198–202.
- 11. Puel J, Valensi P, Vanzetto G, et al., Identification of myocardial ischemia in the diabetic patient, Joint ALFEDIAM and SFC

stroke and microvascular complications.²⁸ More recent studies have provided evidence for a higher benefit from the renin-angiotensin-system blockers than from other anti-hypertensive drugs in terms of cardio- and nephro-protection. In the Losartan Intervention For Endpoint (LIFE) trial, losartan has been tested versus atenolol and prevents better CV mortality in patients with left ventricular hypertrophy.²⁹

As for antiaggregant treatments, they should be given to all diabetic patients with a CV disease, and also to those in primary prevention and other CV risk factors.

The STENO-2 study (carried out by the Steno Diabetes Center) evaluated a plurifactorial approach in type 2 diabetic patients with a high CV risk. In the 'intensive group', patients were treated in order to optimise blood glucose, BP and lipid controls and received an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACE), or an angiotensin II receptor antagonist (ARA-2) and antiaggregants, and were given lifestyle advice. Convincing results were obtained, since the incidence of both CV events and microangiopathic complications was reduced by 50%.³⁰

Finally, regarding SMI, some specific studies should be performed in order to clarify whether coronary revascularisation in patients with significant coronary stenoses reduces cardiac outcomes.

In conclusion, CV risk should be assessed in all patients with diabetes. Those with a high risk should be treated intensively, and this needs to be clearly explained by their doctors in order to improve compliance.

recommendations, Diabetes Metab, 2004;30:3S3-18.

- Valensi P, Pariès J, Brulport-Cerisier V, et al., Predictive value of silent myocardial ischemia for cardiac events in diabetic patients: influence of age in a French multicenter study, Diabetes Metab, 2005;28:2722–7.
- Cosson E, Paycha F, Pariès J, et al., Detecting silent coronary stenoses and stratifying cardiac risk in patients with diabetes: ECG stress test or exercise myocardial scintigraphy?, *Diabet Med*, 2004;21:342–8.
- Valensi P, Johnson NB, Maison-Blanche P, et al., Influence of cardiac autonomic neuropathy on heart rate dependence of ventricular repolarization in diabetic patients, *Diabetes Care*, 2002;25:918–23.
- Norhammar A, Malmberg K, Ryden L, et al., Under utilisation of evidence-based treatment partially explains for the unfavourable prognosis in diabetic patients with acute myocardial infarction, *Eur Heart J*, 2003;24:838–44.
- Shepherd J, Barter P, Carmena R, et al., Effect of lowering LDL cholesterol substantially below currently recommended levels in patients with coronary heart disease and diabetes: the Treating to New Targets (TNT) study, *Diabetes Care*, 2006;29:1220–26.
- Malmberg K, Norhammar A, Wedel H, Ryden L, Glycometabolic state at admission: important risk marker of mortality in conventionally treated patients with diabetes mellitus and acute myocardial infarction: long-term results from the Diabetes and Insulin-Glucose Infusion in Acute Myocardial Infarction (DIGAMI) study, *Circulation*, 1999;99:2626–32.
- Umpierrez GE, Isaacs SD, Bazargan N, et al., Hyperglycemia: an independent marker of in-hospital mortality in patients with undiagnosed diabetes, J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 2002;87:978–82.
- Oliver MF, Opied LH, Effects of glucose and fatty acids on myocardial ischaemia and arrhythmias, *Lancet*, 1994;343:155–8.
- Malmberg K, Ryden L, Hamsten A, et al., Effects of insulin treatment on cause-specific one-year mortality and morbidity in diabetic patients with acute myocardial infarction, DIGAMI Study Group, Diabetes Insulin-Glucose in Acute Myocardial Infarction, Eur Heart J, 1996;1:1337–44.
- Malmberg K, Ryden L, Wedel H, et al., Intense metabolic control by means of insulin in patients with diabetes mellitus and acute myocardial infarction (DIGAMI 2): effects on mortality and morbidity, *Eur Heart J*, 2005;26:650–61.

- Dormandy JA, Charbonnel B, Eckland DJ, et al., Secondary prevention of macrovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes in the PROactive Study (PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events): a randomised controlled trial, *Lancet*, 2005;366:1279–89.
- UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group, Intensive bloodglucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33), *Lancet*, 1998;352(9131): 837–53.
- 24. Nathan DM, Cleary PA, Backlund JY, et al., Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (DCCT/EDIC) Study Research Group, intensive diabetes treatment and cardiovascular disease in patients with type 1 diabetes, N Engl J Med, 2005;353(25): 2643–53.
- Baigent, C, Keech A, Kearney PM, et al., Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaborators, efficacy and safety of cholesterollowering treatment: prospective meta-analysis of data from 90,056 participants in 14 randomised trials of statins, *Lancet*, 2005;366:1267–78.
- 26. Valensi P, Chapman J, Fibrates: first line therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes at low cardiovascular risk?, Atheroscler Thromb Vasc Biol, submitted.
- Keech A, Simes RJ, Barter P, et al., Effects of long-term fenofibrate therapy on cardiovascular events in 9795 people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (the FIELD study): randomised controlled trial, *Lancet*, 2005;366:1849–61.
- UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group, Tight blood pressure control and risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 38, Br Med J, 1998;317(7160):703–13.
- Kieldsen SE, Dahlof B, Devereux RB, et al., Effects of losartan on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with isolated systolic hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy: a Losartan Intervention for Endpoint Reduction (LIFE) substudy, JAMA, 2002;288:1491–8.
- Gaede P, Vedel P, Parving HH, Pedersen O, Intensified multifactorial intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and microalbuminuria: the Steno type 2 randomised study, *Lancet*, 1999;353:617–22.