

Energy Flux and its Role in Obesity and Metabolic Disease

Gregory A Hand, PhD, MPH¹ and Steven N Blair, PED^{1,2}

1. Professor, Department of Exercise Science; 2. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, US

Abstract

In order to reverse the global obesity pandemic, there is a need for an enhanced understanding of the energy dynamics that underlie the problem. To maintain a stable body weight, energy intake must, over time, match or equal energy expenditure, a concept known as energy balance. Obesity results from a positive state of energy balance (i.e. when energy intake exceeds energy expenditure). However, recent research suggests that strategies to combat obesity should focus on energy flux (the amount of calories going through a system), rather than energy balance alone. In other words, it is easier to maintain weight loss at higher levels of physical activity. Recent findings suggest that a high energy flux, maintained by increasing energy expenditure, can improve an individual's metabolic profile without changing weight. Further understanding of this concept may help in the design of interventions to achieve and maintain a healthy weight.

Keywords

Energy balance, energy flux, obesity, metabolic disease

Disclosure: Gregory A Hand, PhD, MPH, and Steven N Blair, PED, have received an unrestricted research grant from The Coca-Cola Company.

Acknowledgments: Editorial assistance was provided by Katrina Mountfort, PhD, at Touch Medical Media.

Received: March 25, 2014 **Accepted:** May 1, 2014 **Citation:** *US Endocrinology*, 2014;10(1):59–63

Correspondence: Gregory A Hand, PhD, MPH, Department of Exercise Science, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Discovery 1, 915 Green St, Columbia, SC 29208, US. E: ghand@sc.edu

Support: The publication of this article was supported by The Coca-Cola Company. The views and opinions expressed are those of the authors.

Obesity is a global epidemic and represents a substantial health and economic burden. In the US, more than one-third (34.9 %) of adults were obese in 2011–12.¹ Obesity has been associated with the development of chronic diseases such as: type 2 diabetes, coronary artery disease, dyslipidemia, stroke, and some cancers.^{2–4} Childhood obesity is the most important risk factor for insulin resistance as well as increased circulating levels of insulin, leading to decreased insulin sensitivity and increased risk for developing the metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease.^{5,6} Adiposity, which is not assessed properly by the commonly used body mass index (BMI), is also a predictor of long-term physical disability.⁷ It is estimated that the health-related costs of obesity have risen to almost 10 % of all medical spending, which, based on 2008 health care costs, amounts to \$147 billion per year.⁸ These data do not account for differences in physical activity levels or fitness. Projections suggest that more than half of the US adult population will be obese by 2030, accruing an additional 6–8.5 million cases of diabetes, 5.7–7.3 million cases of heart disease and stroke, 492,000–669,000 additional cases of cancer, and 26–55 million quality-adjusted life-years lost for the US and UK combined.⁹ This article aims to examine the causes of the rise in obesity as well as to further the understanding of the energy flux and its contribution to developing practical solutions to help reverse the obesity crisis and improve public health.

Causes of the Obesity Epidemic

The factors underlying the obesity epidemic are complex. For most people, exercise makes up a small part of the energy spent on physical activity. The remainder is spent on routine daily activity including office expenditure,

transportation, and household chores. These are collectively termed non-exercise activity thermogenesis (NEAT). Declining levels of NEAT have played a major role in the obesity epidemic¹⁰ and involve both environmental effects resulting from sedentary lifestyles (escalators, elevators, lack of sidewalks, unsafe neighborhoods) and lifestyle factors, which include personal choices (choice of household devices that encourage sedentary behavior). Low levels of NEAT and sedentary behavior have also been correlated to a reduction in activity of skeletal muscle lipoprotein lipase, which controls plasma triglyceride catabolism, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and other metabolic risk factors.¹¹ Furthermore, projections suggest that this trend of declining NEAT will increase (see *Figure 1*).¹² It has been claimed that the obesity epidemic has been caused by overeating: examination of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data suggests that the average daily energy intake increased from 1971–2000 by 168 kcal/day for men and 335 kcal/day for women.^{13,14} However, self-reported measures of dietary intake are known to be unreliable.¹⁵ The validity of these data have been called into question most recently by a study that found substantial disparity in reported and actual caloric intakes, representing under-reporting of up to 850 calories/day.¹⁶ The authors stated that: 'at no point in the 39-year history of the NHANES did at least 50 % of the respondents provide physiologically credible data.' The study concluded that self-reported energy intake is inherently flawed and the publication of such data is misleading. The broad use of these data as a means to estimate energy intake likely hinders progress in designing effective weight management interventions.

Table 1: Studies Investigating the Impact of Exercise Training Without Weight Loss on Metabolic Parameters

Study Type	Study Population	Exercise Intervention and Duration	Results	Reference
Nonrandomized	Sedentary adults aged 45–58, n=18	6 months: walk training (intensity 45–55 % or 65–75 % heart rate reserve, frequency 3–4 or 5–7 days/week, duration 30 minutes/session)	Exercise increased IS by 73.6 % (p<0.005); LPL and HL activities (both p<0.001). No change in BMI, waist circumference, K(2), or fasting lipids	57
Randomized	Obese postmenopausal women, n=28, with type 2 diabetes	16 weeks: aerobic training, aerobic plus resistance or control	Significant increase in glucose disposal in aerobic plus resistance group	54
Randomized	Obese men, n=52	3 months; randomization to DWL, EWL, ECC, and control	BW decreased by 8 % in both WL groups and did not change in the ECC and control groups. Peak oxygen uptake in the exercise groups improved by 16 % (p<0.01). Average reduction in body fat was 1.3 kg greater in the EWL than DWL (p=0.03). Abdominal and visceral fat decreased in the ECC group (p=0.001). Plasma glucose and insulin values did not change in treatment groups compared with controls. Improvement in glucose disposal was similar in the DWL (5.6 mg/kg skeletal muscle per minute) and in the EWL (7.2 mg/kg skeletal muscle per minute) (p>0.2), but significantly greater than those in the control and ECC groups (p<0.001)	59
Randomized	Postmenopausal women, n=54	14 weeks; randomization to DWL, EWL, ECC, and control	BW decreased by 6.5 % within both WL groups and was unchanged in the ECC and control groups. CRF improved within the exercise groups only (p<0.01). Reduction in total and abdominal and fat in the EWL group was greater (p<0.001) than all other groups. Insulin sensitivity improved within the EWL group alone (p<0.001)	60
Nonrandomized	316 women and 280 men (173 blacks and 423 whites)	20 weeks: subjects exercised on cycle ergometers 3 days per week for 60 sessions. The exercise intensity was progressively increased from 55 % VO ₂ max for 30 minutes per session to 75 % VO ₂ max for 50 minutes per session	Mean IS increased by 10 % (p<0.001), but high variability. Men had larger improvements than women (p=0.02). Improvements in fasting insulin disappeared 72 hours after the last bout of exercise. Significant mean increases in the GDI (3 %; p=0.02) and in GE (11 %; p<0.001)	58
Randomized	Obese subjects aged 50–80, n=34	24 weeks; randomization to DWL, EWL, ECC, and control	Similar BW decrease in EWL and DWL; unchanged in ECC and controls. Significantly greater reduction in visceral fat in EWL compared with DWL and ECC. Almost threefold increase in SGP (27 ± 2 %) in EWL. EWL and ECC promoted similar improvements in ISGD	61

BW = body weight; CRF = cardiorespiratory fitness; DWL = diet-induced weight loss; ECC = exercise with calorie compensation; EWL = exercise-induced weight loss; GDI = glucose disappearance index; GE = glucose effectiveness; HL = hepatic lipase; IS = insulin sensitivity; ISGD = insulin-stimulated glucose disposal; LPL = lipoprotein lipase; PHPL = postheparin plasma lipase activity, K 2 intravenous fat clearance; SGP = suppression of glucose production; VO₂ max = maximal oxygen consumption.

In the past, it has been recommended that weight-loss interventions focus on reduction of energy intake, although the importance of increased physical activity has been acknowledged.¹⁷ In a survey of 421 obese adults in the US, only 55 % had undertaken increased exercise as a strategy for weight loss.¹⁸ However, a prospective cohort study of 120,877 US men and women found that the average person gains 3.35 lb every 4 years, and that the greatest weight gain was seen in individuals in the lowest quintile of physical activity.¹⁹

Industrialization has had a profound impact on physical activity undertaken in everyday life. There has been a shift from manual work (including agricultural and goods production) to service jobs. In the US, daily occupation-related energy expenditure decreased by more than 100 calories between 1960 and 2010.²⁰ Furthermore, between 1965 and 2010, there was a substantial and significant decrease in the time allocated by women to household management. By 2010, women allocated 25 % more time to screen-based media use than household management (i.e., cooking, cleaning, and laundry combined).²¹ A longitudinal study of Chinese adults identified an inverse relationship between occupational and domestic physical activity patterns and body weight.²² A recent Canadian study found that the ownership of household devices (e.g. television, car, computer) increased the likelihood of obesity and diabetes, and this was mediated in part by effects on physical activity, sitting time, and dietary energy intake.²³

In addition to the increase in sedentary behavior exacerbated by 'energy-saving' devices, urbanization, and the use of mechanized transportation have led to a decrease in physical activity. As a result of suburbanization and larger school districts, children tend to live further from schools than in previous decades. In 1969, about 15 % of school children ages 6–12 arrived at school in a private vehicle; in 2001, half of all school children were driven to school.²⁴ A review of long-term trends in physical activity in the US found substantial increases over the last 50 years in the number of vehicle miles traveled per person, as well as an increase in the proportion of the population living in suburbs.²⁵ New protocols for calculating population-level estimated energy requirements and average physical activity ratios are currently being evaluated.²⁶

While it cannot be disputed that the rise in obesity levels has paralleled the decline in physical activity at population levels, clinical studies have been less conclusive. Numerous studies have demonstrated that a high level of physical activity is associated with less weight gain over time, while lower levels of physical activity are associated with higher weight gain over time.^{27–30} In addition, several studies in children have reported strong inverse associations between physical activity and body composition.^{31,32} Conversely, a systematic review of prospective studies in children concluded that physical activity alone

is a poor predictor of increases in adiposity.³³ Furthermore, the interpretation of association studies may have been hindered by reverse causality, i.e., obesity leading to lower physical activity levels, as opposed to physical inactivity leading to obesity.³⁴ There is therefore a need for a paradigm shift in understanding the complex relationship between physical activity and obesity.

The Concepts of Energy Balance and Energy Flux


Based on the law of conservation of energy, energy balance is conceptualized as the state achieved when energy intake is equal to energy expenditure. When individuals are in a state of energy balance over time, body weight (energy stores) is maintained.^{35,36} This has led to the proposition that weight change is due to an energy gap, or the gap in energy balance, where a relatively higher intake results in weight gain and a relatively higher expenditure results in weight loss. Some studies estimate that, over time, a small energy gap explains the increase in population overweight and obesity. Furthermore, it has been suggested that by reducing positive energy balance by 45–100 kcal/day, weight gain in 90 % of the adult population could be prevented.^{37–39} Other studies have indicated that larger reductions may be needed among adolescents and racial/ethnic minority youths.⁴⁰ More recent evidence shows that the gap in energy balance in young people has increased between 1971 and 2008 and an average reduction of 41 kcal/day in youth's daily energy gap is required to prevent further increases. An additional reduction of 120 kcal/day and 23 kcal/day would be needed to reach Healthy People 2010 and Healthy People 2020 goals, respectively.⁴¹ However, comparing estimates is difficult because of differences in the demographics of the study participants and the measurement errors associated with determining energy intake, expenditure, and storage. Additionally, the definition of 'energy gap' varies across studies.

Using estimates of food intake increases and physical activity decreases from 1971 to 2000, it has been calculated that US adults would have experienced a 30- to 80-fold increase in weight gain during that period, if it were not for the physical principles that form the theoretical basis for energy balance.³⁶ For example, an increase in daily energy intake above the equivalent energy expenditure will result over time in energy storage and weight gain. The weight gain will result in an increased resting metabolic rate (RMR) and increased energy cost of moving the greater mass. The increased rate of expenditure will eventually reach an equivalent level of the higher energy intake (thus reaching energy balance), but at an overall increase in intake and expenditure.⁴² The overall rate of energy movement is termed 'energy flux'. And while energy balance is the relationship between energy intake and expenditure, it is important to note that energy flux is determined by the rate of energy intake, expenditure, and storage.

It is hypothesized that energy balance may be easier to achieve at high energy flux. In this state, energy intake is more closely linked to energy expenditure, thus providing a physiologic advantage for maintaining a healthy body weight.⁴³ In the 1950s, a population study found that energy intake increased with rising energy expenditure only above a certain level of energy flux. Thus, an energy expenditure threshold for optimal weight regulation was hypothesized. Below this threshold, in what was termed the 'unregulated zone', food intake increased as energy expenditure decreased (see Figure 2).^{36,44}


The significance of the model of energy regulation is twofold: First, the model suggests that energy balance, and maintaining a stable weight is more easily achieved at a high energy flux. Second, a high energy flux can

Figure 1: Changes in Levels of Physical Activity and Time Spent in Sedentary Behavior in the US



Measured from 1965 to 2009 with projections from 2010 to 2030. MET = metabolic equivalents. Source: Ng and Popkin, 2012.¹²

Figure 2: Impact of Increase in Physical Activity of Energy Balance




Sources: Hill et al. 2012³⁶ and Mayer et al. 1956.⁴⁴

be achieved by matching a high energy intake with equivalent high energy expenditure, or by increasing energy stores (gaining weight). Of note is that these two characteristics of the model suggest that the biological system was designed to maintain a high energy flux, and increasing energy stores is a quite viable mechanism to achieve this level of energetics. An extensive body of research indicates that multiple and redundant mechanisms regulate the 'drive' for energy intake.⁴⁵ The high energy flux is attained by matching the intake with expenditure and/or a change in energy storage. Weight gain is consistent with high energy flux combined with low energy expenditure, and it follows that attempting to achieve energy balance at a low energy flux (sedentary behavior combined with food restriction) is not a long-term strategy for weight maintenance.

If energy balance is more readily obtained at high energy flux, individuals who successfully maintain energy balance and achieve weight stability would be expected over time to be in a higher state of energy flux, to maintain higher energy expenditure, to have higher energy expenditure at rest (RMR) and to have minimal body composition change. A study of 10 older adults (mean age 66 years) found that individuals with higher energy flux had a higher RMR.⁴⁶ In addition, a study of 31 postmenopausal women aged 49–72 years found a positive correlation between high exercise energy expenditure and resting energy expenditure.⁴⁷ An analysis of 430 healthy young adult


Figure 3: Change in Insulin-stimulated Suppression of Glucose Rate of Appearance in Individuals Undertaking Diet and Exercise Interventions



Source: Coker et al. 2009.⁶¹

Figure 4: Impact of Equivalent High Energy Fluxes Mediated by Either Energy Expenditure or Energy Storage

A. Direction of energy flux driven by high expenditure with matching intake



B. Direction of energy flux driven by high intake and low expenditure (high storage)



Note: Low energy flux is not maintainable over time by most individuals

men and women showed that over a 10-day period of observation, when corrected for body weight, the lean participants displayed the highest daily energy intake, expenditure, RMR, and physical activity energy expenditure (approximately 500 kcal).⁴⁸ In an observational study that followed 381 healthy adult women and men aged 21–35 years with BMI 20–35 kg/m²,⁴⁹ the participants with a weight change of 1 pound or less over a period of 6 months had the highest rates of caloric intake and expenditure.⁵⁰

Increasing Energy Expenditure—Impact on Health and Metabolic Diseases

Not only are obesity and physical activity interrelated, but they also form part of a more complex cluster of behavioral and metabolic phenotypes, including diabetes and the metabolic syndrome. Energy flux therefore has an impact not only on weight change but also on general health. Preventing age-associated fitness loss decreases all-cause mortality regardless of weight change.⁵¹ Inadequate or decreasing levels of cardiorespiratory fitness have also been associated with increased cancer mortality in men.⁵² A recent review revealed a lack of long-term (>5 years) data demonstrating the relationship between physical activity and non-communicable diseases, including coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes, Alzheimer’s disease, and dementia, but concluded that physical activity has a positive influence on all these diseases.⁵³ In addition, a number of studies have also demonstrated that insulin resistance may be improved with regular exercise training even without calorie restriction or weight loss.^{54–56}

An interesting approach to the study of energy flux is to increase energy expenditure through enrolment in an exercise program with a concomitant increase in energy intake, thereby increasing flux without weight change. Numerous studies have demonstrated improvements in metabolic parameters following an increase in energy flux (see Table 1). A small study of previously sedentary middle-aged adults (n=18), found that walk training positively affected insulin sensitivity and markers of fat metabolism.⁵⁷ In the larger HHealth, Risk factors, exercise Training And GENetics (HERITAGE) family study (n=596), healthy, previously sedentary individuals exercised on cycle ergometers 3 days a week for 60 sessions. Although the results were highly variable, improvements were seen in virtually all glycemic parameters. The study concluded that regular exercise can produce sustained improvements in glucose homeostasis without concomitant weight loss.⁵⁸ Work from a number of groups has shown that this strategy can result in significant reductions in total and abdominal fat in those who are obese and with type 2 diabetes.

Three randomized controlled trials have further explored the impact of energy flux. In the first, 52 obese men were randomly assigned to one of four groups: diet-induced weight loss, exercise-induced weight loss, exercise with calorie compensation to maintain weight, and control and were observed for 3 months. Body weight decreased by 8 % in both weight loss groups; cardiovascular fitness improved by approximately 16 % (p<0.01) in the exercise groups. Although total fat decreased in both weight loss groups, the reduction was 1.3 kg greater in the exercise-induced weight loss group than in the diet-induced weight loss group (p=0.03). There was also a significant decrease in abdominal and fat in the exercise with calorie compensation group (p=0.001).⁵⁹ A similar study randomized 44 premenopausal women with abdominal obesity to the same four groups. Reduction in total and abdominal fat within the exercise weight loss group was greater (p<0.001) than within all other groups. The reduction in total and abdominal fat within the diet weight loss and exercise without weight loss groups was greater than within controls (p<0.001) but not different from each other (p>0.05). Insulin sensitivity improved in the exercise weight-loss group (p<0.001).⁶⁰ In another study of 24 obese, older subjects (aged 50–80 years of age), the exercise weight-loss group showed an increase in insulin-stimulated suppression of glucose Ra, a measure of hepatic insulin sensitivity, almost three times greater than that of the diet-induced weight-loss group. The exercise group with calorie restriction also showed substantial increases in fasting glucose production (see Figure 3).⁶¹

These findings suggest that a high energy flux maintained through participating in exercise can improve an individual’s metabolic profile with or without changing body weight. A combination of resistance training and aerobic exercise appears to be most effective in improving glycemic parameters.^{54,55} It is important to note that even without a change in body weight there is typically a reduction in body fatness as activity levels increase – reinforcing the concept that energy expenditure or storage is a viable means to maintain a high energy flux (see Figure 4).

Energy Flux and Strategies to Combat Obesity

An understanding of energy flux is crucial to the design of interventions to combat obesity. Reducing obesity requires modifying both energy intake and energy expenditure. High expenditure allows for a greater consumption of calories and potentially provides a means to maintain balance and weight at a manageable daily caloric intake. Interventions that do not address both energy expenditure and intake tend to be unsuccessful in the long term.⁶²

In order to design interventions to address the obesity problem, there is a need for changes in public policy, as well as innovative educational programs and technological lifestyle interventions.

There is a pressing need for funding for more well-designed exercise interventions: in 2012 the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute estimate for spending on obesity was \$836 million, with spending projected to be \$843 million in 2014.⁶³ However, out of 233 categories on its website, the NIH website does not list spending on exercise or physical activity as a category for funding.

Conclusion

The rise in obesity over the past 5 decades has been paralleled by decreased levels of physical activity. More recently, there has been an unprecedented rise in insulin resistance and diabetes-associated risk factors in children and adolescents. Recent studies have demonstrated that an understanding of energy flux can not only enhance weight loss but also improve metabolic

parameters. Interventions that increase physical activity promote the reduction of visceral adipose tissue and hepatic insulin resistance, even when calorie intake is increased. In fact, high energy flux, i.e. high caloric intake and expenditure, appears to be an optimal strategy for maintaining weight while improving metabolic strategies, though there is a need for large clinical studies to confirm these findings.

There is a need for more emphasis on both sides of the energy balance equation when trying to determine the causes of the obesity epidemic and the failure of current strategies to prevent weight regain. There is also a need for interventional studies to more conclusively test for metabolic effects of sedentary habits as well as comparing and contrasting the potential benefits of daily non-exercise physical activity and structured exercise. Studies on health outcomes should measure both adiposity/fat distribution and physical activity/fitness accurately and take both into account in the analyses. In summary, energy flux has the potential to redefine the paradigms of weight-management strategies. ■

- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM, Prevalence of obesity among adults: United States, 2011–2012, NCHS Data Brief. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db131.htm> (accessed April 1, 2014).
- Haslam DW, James WP, Obesity, *Lancet*, 2005;366:1197–209.
- Bays H, Rodbard HW, Schorr AB, et al., Adiposopathy: treating pathogenic adipose tissue to reduce cardiovascular disease risk, *Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med*, 2007;9:259–71.
- Look Ahead Research Group Wing RR, Long-term effects of a lifestyle intervention on weight and cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: four-year results of the Look AHEAD trial, *Arch Intern Med*, 2010;170:1566–75.
- Steinberger J, Daniels SR, American Heart Association Atherosclerosis H, et al., Obesity, insulin resistance, diabetes, and cardiovascular risk in children: an American Heart Association scientific statement from the Atherosclerosis, Hypertension, and Obesity in the Young Committee (Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young) and the Diabetes Committee (Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism), *Circulation*, 2003;107:1448–53.
- Freedman DS, Khan LK, Dietz WH, et al., Relationship of childhood obesity to coronary heart disease risk factors in adulthood: the Bogalusa Heart Study, *Pediatrics*, 2001;108:712–8.
- Wong E, Stevenson C, Backholer K, et al., Adiposity measures as predictors of long-term physical disability, *Ann Epidemiol*, 2012;22:710–6.
- Finkelstein EA, Trogdon JG, Cohen JW, et al., Annual medical spending attributable to obesity: payer- and service-specific estimates, *Health Aff (Millwood)*, 2009;28:w822–31.
- Wright JD, Wang CY, Trends in intake of energy and macronutrients in adults from 1999–2000 through 2007–2008, *NCHS Data Brief*, 2011;1–8.
- Levine JA, Nonexercise activity thermogenesis—liberating the life-force, *J Intern Med*, 2007;262:273–87.
- Hamilton MT, Hamilton DG, Zderic TW, Role of low energy expenditure and sitting in obesity, metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease, *Diabetes*, 2007;56:2655–67.
- Ng SW, Popkin BM, Time use and physical activity: a shift away from movement across the globe, *Obes Rev*, 2012;13:659–80.
- Wright JD, Kennedy-Stephenson J, Wang CY, et al., Trends in intake of energy and macronutrients – United States, 1971–2000, *MMWR Weekly*, 2004;53:80–2.
- Wright JD, Wang CY, Trends in intake of energy and macronutrients in adults from 1999–2000 through 2007–2008, *NCHS Data Brief*, 2010;1–8.
- Subar AF, Kipnis V, Troiano RP, et al., Using intake biomarkers to evaluate the extent of dietary misreporting in a large sample of adults: the OPEN study, *Am J Epidemiol*, 2003;158:1–13.
- Archer E, Hand GA, Blair SN, Validity of U.S. Nutritional surveillance: national health and nutrition examination survey caloric energy intake data, 1971–2010, *PLoS One*, 2013;8:e76632.
- Swinburn B, Sacks G, Ravussin E, Increased food energy supply is more than sufficient to explain the US epidemic of obesity, *Am J Clin Nutr*, 2009;90:1453–6.
- Nicklas JM, Huskey KW, Davis RB, et al., Successful weight loss among obese U.S. adults, *Am J Prev Med*, 2012;42:481–5.
- Mozaffarian D, Hao T, Rimm EB, et al., Changes in diet and lifestyle and long-term weight gain in women and men, *N Engl J Med*, 2011;364:2392–404.
- Church TS, Thomas DM, Tudor-Locke C, et al., Trends over 5 decades in U.S. occupation-related physical activity and their associations with obesity, *PLoS One*, 2011;6:e19657.
- Archer E, Shook RP, Thomas DM, et al., 45-Year trends in women's use of time and household management energy expenditure, *PLoS One*, 2013;8:e56620.
- Monda KL, Adair LS, Zhai F, et al., Longitudinal relationships between occupational and domestic physical activity patterns and body weight in China, *Eur J Clin Nutr*, 2008;62:1318–25.
- Lear SA, Teo K, Gasevic D, et al., The association between ownership of common household devices and obesity and diabetes in high, middle and low income countries, *CMAJ*, 2014;186:258–66.
- US Department of Transportation, NHTS Brief: National Household Travel Survey. Available at: [http://nhts.ornl.gov/briefs/Travel To School.pdf](http://nhts.ornl.gov/briefs/Travel%20To%20School.pdf) (accessed February 27, 2014).
- Brownson RC, Boehmer TK, Luke DA, Declining rates of physical activity in the United States: what are the contributors? *Annu Rev Public Health*, 2005;26:421–43.
- Archer E, Hand GA, Hebert JR, et al., Validation of a Novel Protocol for Calculating Estimated Energy Requirements and Average Daily Physical Activity Ratio for the US Population: 2005–2006, *Mayo Clin Proc*, 2013;88:1398–407.
- Besson H, Ekellund U, Luan J, et al., A cross-sectional analysis of physical activity and obesity indicators in European participants of the EPIC-PANACEA study, *Int J Obes (Lond)*, 2009;33:497–506.
- Waller K, Kaprio J, Kujala UM, Associations between long-term physical activity, waist circumference and weight gain: a 30-year longitudinal twin study, *Int J Obes (Lond)*, 2008;32:353–61.
- Hankinson AL, Daviglus ML, Bouchard C, et al., Maintaining a high physical activity level over 20 years and weight gain, *JAMA*, 2010;304:2603–10.
- May AM, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Boshuizen H, et al., Effect of change in physical activity on body fatness over a 10-y period in the Doetinchem Cohort Study, *Am J Clin Nutr*, 2010;92:491–9.
- Jimenez-Pavon D, Kelly J, Reilly JJ, Associations between objectively measured habitual physical activity and adiposity in children and adolescents: Systematic review, *Int J Pediatr Obes*, 2010;5:3–18.
- Ness AR, Leary SD, Mattocks C, et al., Objectively measured physical activity and fat mass in a large cohort of children, *PLoS Med*, 2007;4:e97.
- Wilks DC, Besson H, Lindroos AK, et al., Objectively measured physical activity and obesity prevention in children, adolescents and adults: a systematic review of prospective studies, *Obes Rev*, 2011;12:e119–29.
- Metcalf BS, Hosking J, Jeffery AN, et al., Fatness leads to inactivity, but inactivity does not lead to fatness: a longitudinal study in children (EarlyBird 45), *Arch Dis Child*, 2011;96:942–7.
- Hafekost K, Lawrence D, Mitrou F, et al., Tackling overweight and obesity: does the public health message match the science? *BMC Med*, 2013;11:41.
- Hill JO, Wyatt HR, Peters JC, Energy balance and obesity, *Circulation*, 2012;126:126–32.
- Hill JO, Wyatt HR, Reed GW, et al., Obesity and the environment: where do we go from here? *Science*, 2003;299:853–5.
- Plachta-Danielzik S, Landsberg B, Bony-Westphal A, et al., Energy gain and energy gap in normal-weight children: longitudinal data of the KOPS, *Obesity (Silver Spring)*, 2008;16:777–83.
- Zhai F, Wang H, Wang Z, et al., Closing the energy gap to prevent weight gain in China, *Obes Rev*, 2008;9(Suppl. 1):107–12.
- Wang YC, Gortmaker SL, Sobol AM, et al., Estimating the energy gap among US children: a counterfactual approach, *Pediatrics*, 2006;118:e1721–33.
- Wang YC, Orleans CT, Gortmaker SL, Reaching the healthy people goals for reducing childhood obesity: closing the energy gap, *Am J Prev Med*, 2012;42:437–44.
- Swinburn BA, Sacks G, Lo SK, et al., Estimating the changes in energy flux that characterize the rise in obesity prevalence, *Am J Clin Nutr*, 2009;89:1723–8.
- Ross R, Bradshaw AJ, The future of obesity reduction: beyond weight loss, *Nat Rev Endocrinol*, 2009;5:319–25.
- Mayer J, Roy P, Mitra KP, Relation between caloric intake, body weight, and physical work: studies in an industrial male population in West Bengal, *Am J Clin Nutr*, 1956;4:169–75.
- Blundell JE, Caudwell P, Gibbons C, et al., Role of resting metabolic rate and energy expenditure in hunger and appetite control: a new formulation, *Dis Model Mech*, 2012;5:608–13.
- Bell C, Day DS, Jones PP, et al., High energy flux mediates the tonically augmented beta-adrenergic support of resting metabolic rate in habitually exercising older adults, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2004;89:3573–8.
- Froehle AW, Hopkins SR, Natarajan L, et al., Moderate to high levels of exercise are associated with higher resting energy expenditure in community-dwelling postmenopausal women, *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*, 2013;38:1147–53.
- Hand GA, Shook RP, Jaggers JR, et al., Determinants of energy balance: differences related to body weight and body composition, *Circulation*, 2013;127:AP022.
- Hand GA, Shook RP, Paluch AE, et al., The energy balance study: the design and baseline results for a longitudinal study of energy balance, *Res Q Exerc Sport*, 2013;84:275–86.
- Hand GA, Individuals in energy balance have a higher daily energy intake and expenditure than do individuals gaining or losing weight. Presented at The Obesity Society Annual Meeting, Nov 12–16, 2013, Atlanta, Georgia, US.
- Lee DC, Sui X, Artero EG, et al., Long-term effects of changes in cardiorespiratory fitness and body mass index on all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality in men: the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study, *Circulation*, 2011;124:2483–90.
- Zhang P, Sui X, Hand GA, et al., Association of changes in fitness and body composition with cancer mortality in men, *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 2013; [Epub ahead of print].
- Reiner M, Niermann C, Jekauc D, et al., Long-term health benefits of physical activity – a systematic review of longitudinal studies, *BMC Public Health*, 2013;13:813.
- Cuff DJ, Menelly GS, Martin A, et al., Effective exercise modality to reduce insulin resistance in women with type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes Care*, 2003;26:2977–82.
- Lee S, Bacha F, Hannon T, et al., Effects of aerobic versus resistance exercise without caloric restriction on abdominal fat, intrahepatic lipid, and insulin sensitivity in obese adolescent boys: a randomized, controlled trial, *Diabetes*, 2012;61:2787–95.
- Davis CL, Pollock NK, Waller JL, et al., Exercise dose and diabetes risk in overweight and obese children: a randomized controlled trial, *JAMA*, 2012;308:1103–12.
- Duncan GE, Perri MG, Theriaque DW, et al., Exercise training, without weight loss, increases insulin sensitivity and postheparin plasma lipase activity in previously sedentary adults, *Diabetes Care*, 2003;26:557–62.
- Boule NG, Weinsangal SJ, Lakka TA, et al., Effects of exercise training on glucose homeostasis: the HERITAGE Family Study, *Diabetes Care*, 2005;28:108–14.
- Ross R, Dagnone D, Jones PJ, et al., Reduction in obesity and related comorbid conditions after diet-induced weight loss or exercise-induced weight loss in men: A randomized, controlled trial, *Ann Intern Med*, 2000;133:92–103.
- Ross R, Janssen I, Dawson J, et al., Exercise-induced reduction in obesity and insulin resistance in women: a randomized controlled trial, *Obes Res*, 2004;12:789–98.
- Coker RH, Williams RH, Yeo SE, et al., The impact of exercise training compared to caloric restriction on hepatic and peripheral insulin resistance in obesity, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2009;94:4258–66.
- Ebbeling CB, Pawlak DB, Ludwig DS, Childhood obesity: public-health crisis, common sense cure, *Lancet*, 2002;360:473–82.
- NIH, Estimates of Funding for Various Research, Condition, and Disease Categories (RDCD), April 2013. Available at: http://report.nih.gov/categorical_spending.aspx (accessed March 3, 2014).