Diagnosis and Management of Acromegaly in 2012

Laurence Katznelson, MD

Professor of Medicine and Neurosurgery, Stanford University

Abstract

Acromegaly is an insidious disease that, in most cases, is a result of a pituitary adenoma that hypersecretes growth hormone (GH). The goals of therapy are to control excess GH secretion and tumor growth, and to limit, if not reverse, the long-term medical consequences and risk of premature mortality associated with acromegaly. Surgery is the preferred primary therapeutic option because it can lead to rapid reductions in GH levels and prevent mass effects from local tumor growth. Medical therapy, including somatostatin analogs, dopamine agonists, and the GH receptor antagonist pegvisomant, is used most often in an adjuvant, secondary role for patients in whom surgery has been unsuccessful. Radiation therapy is most commonly recommended in the setting of failed surgery and lack of adequate control with medical therapy. A role of primary medical therapy for de novo patients has been proposed, particularly with somatostatin analogs. Using a multimodality approach, successful management of the disease and associated consequences should be achieved in the majority of subjects.

Acromegaly is an uncommon disorder that, in the vast majority of cases, is the result of a growth hormone (GH)-secreting pituitary adenoma. There is an estimated prevalence of 40–125 per million and an incidence of three to four new cases per million, although a more recent study in Belgium suggested a higher incidence of approximately 13 cases per 100,000.[1,2] Acromegaly is often diagnosed in patients in their early to mid-40s and has equal gender distribution.[3–5] Because the features of acromegaly progress in an insidious fashion, there is often a delay in diagnosis of approximately seven to 10 years after the estimated onset of symptoms.[6] Therefore, a pituitary macroadenoma (greater than 1 cm) is present in the majority of subjects.[7] Because tumors are often macroadenomas at the time of diagnosis, there may be a number of signs and symptoms related to local mass effects, including headache, visual field loss, ophthalmoplegia, and hypopituitarism. Chronic GH and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) hypersecretion can lead to soft tissue and bone overgrowth manifestations, medical comorbidities, and accompanying clinical features. Medical comorbidites include arthropathy, cardiomegaly, sleep apnea syndrome, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and colon polyps. In addition, acromegaly is associated with premature mortality, primarily owing to cardiovascular disease. Appropriate therapy of acromegaly can lead to improvement in these comorbidities and reversal of the premature mortality risk.

Diagnosis of Acromegaly

The diagnosis of acromegaly begins with a clinical suspicion by the physician that the patient has this disease. Typical physical examination findings include hand and foot enlargement or facial bone enlargement and acral/soft tissue changes. Of note, subjects usually do not present with a chief complaint related to acral growth. In women, the most common presenting complaint is amenorrhea.[4]

Biochemical testing involves measurement of GH and IGF-1. GH, produced by the somatotroph cells of the pituitary gland in a pulsatile fashion, circulates and stimulates hepatic secretion of IGF-1. In general, IGF-1 levels correlate with GH concentrations, especially with serum GH levels less than 20 ng/ml.[8] Because IGF-1 is an integrated measure of GH secretion and is subject to less serum variation than GH, a random IGF-1 measurement is highly useful for assessment of GH hypersecretion (see *Table 1*). Owing to the lack of agreement between assays and the lack of validated normal ranges for IGF-1,[9,10] the same assay should be used in the same patient for serial measurement.[11] A random GH measurement is not generally considered useful in diagnosis because of the lack of a well-defined normal or safe range, although a markedly elevated random GH level is certainly consistent with the disease. In one consensus statement, the presence of a random GH less than 0.4 ng/ml and normal IGF-1 was considered sufficient to consider the diagnosis highly unlikely.[12]

An oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) is considered the gold standard test for acromegaly, and the inability to suppress serum GH to less than 1 ng/ml after glucose administration (75 g is recommended) is consistent with the diagnosis.[13–16] It is important to note that this cut-off nadir GH value is controversial, particularly given the development of more sensitive GH assays that lead to lower serum GH levels.[17]

Table 1: Diagnostic Tests in Acromegaly

Elevated serum IGF-1 (age- and gender-normalized)
GH nadir >1.0 ng/ml after oral glucose
Random GH <0.4 ng/ml and normal IGF-1 makes the diagnosis highly unlikely
Dedicated 'pituitary' MRI once there is biochemical confirmation

GH = growth hormone; IGF-1 = insulin-line growth factor 1; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging.

In a patient with signs and symptoms of acromegaly and an elevated IGF-1 value, an OGTT may not be necessary for diagnosis. In the setting of a clinical suspicion but discordant values, such as an elevated IGF-1 and normal GH value (i.e., suppressible with OGTT), the subject likely has early stage acromegaly.[18]

After diagnosis of acromegaly, a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan of the sella should be obtained to determine tumor size, location, and invasiveness.[19] Visual field testing is performed if the tumor is touching or compressing the optic chiasm. A thorough ophthalmologic examination should be performed if the patient describes diplopia and the tumor is invading the cavernous sinus.

Treatment

The goals of therapy for acromegaly are to control GH and IGF-1 activity, reduce tumor size and prevent local mass effects, reduce signs and symptoms of disease, prevent or improve medical comorbidities, and prevent premature mortality. The primary mode of therapy is surgery. Medical therapy is mostly used in the adjuvant setting following surgery, although a role for primary medical therapy in selected patients with macroadenomas may be considered. Radiation therapy is largely relegated to an adjuvant role.

Surgery

Surgery is highly useful to debulk or resect the somatotroph adenoma, decompress local mass effects, rapidly lower or normalize GH and IGF-1 values, and obtain pathologic tissue for further anlaysis. Surgery is recommended for all subjects with microadenomas because approximately 80 % or more of microadenomas are curable.[5] With an experienced surgeon, surgical cure rates for macroadenomas are approximately 40–50 %, likely reflecting the high prevalence of extrasellar extension and parasellar invasion of the cavernous sinus.[20–25] Surgery is recommended for all patients who have macroadenomas with associated mass effects. In patients who have macroadenomas without mass effects and with low likelihood of surgical cure, a role for surgical debulking of macroadenomas to improve response to subsequent medical therapy has been advocated, as has use of primary medical therapy alone.[23] The transsphenoidal approach is the most common procedure, with craniotomy reserved for select cases involving large, extrasellar lesions. Transnasal endoscopic procedures offer improved visibility and are rapidly replacing microscopic techniques.[21]

Surgical efficacy can be assessed as early as post-operative day one, as demonstrated by Krieger et al.,[26] where a fasting serum GH less than 2 ng/ml was associated with both a normal IGF-1 value and clinical evidence of disease remission at five years. Because the stress of surgery may stimulate the remaining normal gland to elevate GH

levels, there is concern that a post-operative serum GH may have more limited prognostic value. The biochemical evaluation at 12 weeks post-operatively, including an IGF-1 level and an OGTT, is considered more valid in assessing surgical result.[27,28] In the post-operative setting, a lower nadir GH of less than 0.4 ng/ml has been suggested as a cut-off, although a 1.0 ng/ml value is generally used.[14,29] If there are discordant results, such as an elevated IGF-1 value but normal GH level, repeat testing may be warranted, particularly if there is a high clinical suspicion of persistent disease. Repeat imaging with an MRI scan is usually performed at least 12 weeks following surgery to allow for resolution of edema and involution of Gelfoam® and fat packing.[30] Repeat pituitary hormone studies are performed at this time as well to assess for residual function.

Is There a Role for Pre-operative Medical Therapy?

A role for medical therapy, particulary with somatostatin analogs, to improve surgical remission has been conjectured. In a multicenter study, six-month pre-treatment with octreotide long-acting release (LAR) (20 mg/month) resulted in surgical remission in 50 % of subjects with macroadenomas, compared with 16 % of those who underwent surgery without pre-treatment (p=0.02).[31] In a single-center study, 98 subjects with macroadenomas were randomized to receive lanreotide for four months prior to surgery or to undergo surgery directly, and surgical remission was achieved in 49 % and 18 %, respectively (p=0.001).[32] These randomized studies suggest that pre-operative medical therapy may improve surgical remission rates. However, a limitation of both studies is the relatively low remission rates in the groups randomized to surgery alone. Further study is needed to determine whether medical therapy should be used routinely in the pre-operative setting.

Another consideration is the use of medical therapy pre-operatively to improve anesthetic risk in the peri- and post-operative settings. Because intubation may be difficult and traumatic in up to 30 % of acromegagly patients, a role for medical therapy to reduce soft tissue swelling and reduce this risk has been considered.[33] In addition, subjects with acromegaly are at risk of cardiovascular disease, including hypertension and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, with associated reduced ejection fraction.[34] Medical therapy may improve cardiovascular morbidities and surgical outcomes.[35,36] Use of medical therapy to reduce surgical risk is an important topic that deserves further research.

Medical Therapy

Medical therapy is largely used in an adjuvant role for patients with residual disease following surgery. However, primary medical therapy may be considered in subjects with macroadenomas and extrasellar involvement (especially involving the cavernous sinus) but no evidence of local mass effects such as chiasmal compression. In this situation, surgery will unlikely be curative and primary medical therapy in lieu of surgery may be considered.[37] In a recent study, three-quarters of patients had at least 25 % tumor shrinkage following 12 months of somatostatin analog administration.[38] Primary medical therapy may also be considered in patients who are at high risk from surgery and according to patient preferences. In a subject who is undergoing primary medical therapy, surgery can always be reconsidered for tumor debulking to improve response to medical therapy.[23]

For somatostatin analog and dopamine agonist administration, serum GH and IGF-1 are the appropriate biochemical markers for following activity. Repeat testing is performed following dose changes at eight- to 12-week intervals.[27] GH suppression following glucose administration may be useful for monitoring the efficacy of medical therapy,[39–41] although a recent study questioned the use of this test in this setting.[27] With administration of pegvisomant, serum IGF-1 only should be measured to monitor dose efficacy and GH levels should not be assessed. GH levels rise with pegvisomant administration and these GH levels have no impact on pegvisomant dosing.[42,43]

Dopamine Agonists

Bromocriptine and cabergoline are dopamine agonists that have been shown to be efficacious in the management of acromegaly. Both are orally administered and are less expensive than the other options, and therefore are often used as medical therapy. However, bromocriptine normalizes IGF-1 levels in approximately 8 % of patients and high doses are often required.[44] Cabergoline, a more selective dopamine-2 receptor agonist, may be effective in up to 40 % of subjects with doses of 1.0–1.75 mg/week, although doses of up to 7 mg weekly may be necessary.[45,46] Subjects with modest elevation of their serum IGF-1 level may be the most responsive to dopamine agonist therapy. Some studies have suggested that co-secretion of prolactin may predict response, but this has not been supported by other studies.[47,48] Adverse effects of both bromocriptine and cabergoline include gastrointestinal upset, nasal congestion, fatigue, orthostasis, and headache, but cabergoline may be better tolerated than bromocriptine. When used in higher doses (e.g., greater than 3 mg daily) in patients with Parkinson's disease, cabergoline has been associated with an increased risk of echocardiographic valvular abnormalities.[49] There are no definitive data that clearly link the use of cabergoline with cardiac valve disease in acromegaly, and the implication of this finding for patients with acromegaly remains unclear.

Somatostatin Analogs

Somatostatin analogs are the mainstay of medical therapy for acromegaly and are highly effective at improving both biochemical parameters and medical comorbidities. There are two available somatostatin analog formulations: octreotide and lanreotide. Short-acting octreotide is administered at 0.05–0.3 mg subcutaneously up to three to four times a day. The advantages of short-acting octreotide include rapid action and a considerably smaller cost than the depot formulations. It is recommended that short-acting octreotide be administered for two weeks at a dosage of 0.1 mg three times daily prior to initiation of the octreotide LAR depot, to assess the response and tolerability of octreotide. However, this practice is not generally followed and, instead, one or two doses of short-acting subcutaneous octreotide may be administered to assess for significant toxicity.[50] Longer-acting depot preparations, including octreotide LAR (intramuscular) and lanreotide autogel (deep subcutaneous), are administered as monthly injections. In a meta-analysis, depot formulations resulted in approximately 55 % normalization of GH and 67 % normalization of IGF-I levels.[51] Octreotide LAR and lanreotide autogel have similar pharmacologic and efficacy profiles.[52] In cases where IGF-1 levels fall excessively, somatostatin analogs may be administered at six-week intervals or longer. Somatostatin analog administration may result in tumor shrinkage. In one review of 14 studies using primary somatostatin

analog therapy, 36.6 % of patients had a significant (10 % to greater than 45 %) reduction in tumor size.[53] The efficacy of somatostatin analogs is a function of the somatostatin receptor subtype 2 density, although the presence of receptor subtypes is not routinely assessed.[54] Response to somatastatin analogs is inversely correlated with tumor size and degree of GH hypersecretion. The acute GH reduction following a single subcutaneous dose of octreotide and the degree of radiolabeled octreotide uptake have not been shown to be accurate in predicting biochemical remission.[55]

The most common adverse effects are abdominal cramping and diarrhea, which are usually noted within the first 72 hours after each depot injection. Chronic somatostatin analog use is also associated with an increased incidence of gallbladder sludge and gallstone formation, but these effects are not clinically significant in most patients.[51] Less frequently, hair loss, bradycardia, constipation, glucose intolerance, and diabetes are described.

Pegvisomant

Pegvisomant is a recombinantly derived analog of human GH that acts as a highly selective GH receptor antagonist.[42,43] Administration of pegvisomant leads to a reduction in IGF-1 levels, with a rise in circulating GH levels. Therefore, serum IGF-I, and not GH, is used to monitor the biochemical response to therapy. In the pivotal study involving a double-blind, placebo-controlled 12-week trial, daily subcutaneous administration of pegvisomant normalized IGF-1 in 89 % of cases.[42] In the follow-up extension study involving 152 patients treated for up to 18 months, IGF-I normalized in 97 % of patients.[43] Therefore, pegvisomant is highly effacious, and it may be particularly useful in improving glucose homeostasis in patients with glucose intolerance or overt type 2 diabetes.[56] More recently, there has been an increase in the use of weekly or twice-weekly formulations of pegvisomant, as less frequent administration may prove easier for patient use.[57]

Pegvisomant does not target the tumor, nor does it have tumor antiproliferative effects, giving rise to concern that its use may therefore lead to tumor growth. However, observational studies have shown tumor growth to be uncommon and, when present, it may reflect the presence of more aggressive tumors or rebound growth following recent discontinuation of a somatostatin analog.[58] It is recommended that patients undergo monitoring with serial MRI scans; for example, at six-month intervals during the first year and then annually. Pegvisomant therapy is associated with abnormalities in liver function tests; in the German Pegvisomant Observational Study, transaminase levels greater than three times normal were noted in 5.2 % of subjects.[59] These transaminase elevations are usually asymptomatic and often transient and self-limiting, despite continued administration of pegvisomant.[59] Regular monitoring of liver function tests is recommended with discontinuation of the drug if these abnormalities are significantly elevated. Additional and uncommon adverse effects include an influenza-like illness, local allergic reactions, and local lipohypertrophy.[60]

How to Manage the Patient with Somatostatin Analog Resistance?

There are several management options for patients who are resistant to somatostatin analogs. One option is to increase the somatostatin analog to a high-dose formulation (e.g., octreotide LAR 60 mg monthly), as this

regimen may improve biochemical remission rates in an additional one-third of subjects.[61] In a patient with partial somatostatin analog resistance, either pegvisomant or cabergoline could be added to the somatostatin analog for additive effect. For example, the addition of pegvisomant to a somatostatin analog may result in biochemical control in up to 58 % of subjects and, through dose reduction of both the pegvisomant and somatostatin analog, this regimen may have a cost benefit.[62] Addition of cabergoline to patients with partial response to a somatostatin analog may lead to IGF-1 normalization in about half of subjects,[63] although this benefit is generally noted in subjects with modest IGF-1 elevations. Another option involves surgical debulking of macroadenomas to improve the subsequent response to somatostatin analogs.[23] In a patient with full resistance to a somatostatin analog, substitution of pegvisomant for the somatostatin analog may be considered.[64] Finally, in a patient with somatostatin analog resistance, consideration of radiation therapy may be warranted.[65]

Radiation Therapy

Radiation therapy is usually considered as an adjunctive therapy in subjects with active disease despite surgery and/or medical therapy, or to limit the need for lifelong medical therapy.[28,65,66] There are two main types of radiotherapy for patients with acromegaly: conventional fractionated radiotherapy and stereotactic radiosurgery.

Fractionated radiotherapy is typically administered in daily doses of 160–180 cGray (cGy) over a five- to six-week period up to a total dose of 4,500–5,000 cGy. Using strict remission criteria, such as a glucose-suppressed GH value of less than 1 ng/ml and a normal IGF-1 value, conventional fractionated radiation therapy results in biochemical cure in 10–60 % of subjects.[67–70]

Stereotactic radiosurgery includes a number of modalities, such as Gamma Knife® (Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden), CyberKnife® (Accuray Incorporated, Sunnyvale, CA, US), and a linear accelerator that delivers high-energy photons. Another option is use of proton particles.[71] In acromegaly, most experience with stereotactic radiosurgery involves Gamma Knife radiosurgery, which is usually delivered by a cobalt-60 gamma radiation source as a single treatment. With Gamma Knife radiosurgery, biochemical remission rates (without the need for medical therapy) are reported to be 17–50 % over a five-year follow-up period.[72–74] It has been suggested that time to remission is shorter with Gamma Knife radiosurgery than with conventional radiotherapy, although this is not entirely clear.[72,74–76]

Radiosurgery is generally considered if the tumor is a minimal distance from the optic chiasm, such as 5 mm, owing to concern about optic nerve injury.[77] Periodic withdrawal of medical therapy following radiotherapy should be performed for biochemical assessment. Somastatin analogs are often withheld at the time of radiation therapy because of concern that they may be radioprotective, although this finding is controversial, as it is not supported in all studies.[72,74,75,78]

The main limitation for radiotherapy is the development of hypopituitarism, which may occur in up to 50 % of patients after five to 10 years.[67,79] Radiation-induced secondary tumors and radionecrosis have been reported in fewer than 2 % of patients undergoing conventional radiotherapy.[80,81]

Managing Associated Medical Comorbidities

The long-term management of acromegaly should also include screening and intervention for the associated comorbidities. For example, cardiovascular comorbidities, including hyperlipidemia, diabetes, and hypertension, should be monitored and treated accordingly. Serial colonoscopy should be performed in patients with polyps found at the baseline colonoscopy and those with persistent acromegaly.[82,83] In a subject with sleep apnea syndrome, biochemical control may lead to improvement in the sleep disorder, although sleep apnea may persist. Therefore, repeat sleep apnea assessments should be performed and appropriate treatment offered.[84,85] Such monitoring should be performed in parallel with the acromegaly management.

Conclusion

Acromegaly is a multisystem disease that often requires multimodality therapy for control of the tumor, the GH hypersecretion, and the medical consequences. With current therapeutic options, successful disease control should be achieved in the majority of patients. ■

1. Alexander L, Appleton D, Hall R, et al., Epidemiology of acromegaly in the Newcastle region, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 1980;12:71–9.
2. Daly AF, Rixhon M, Adam C, et al., High prevalence of pituitary adenomas: a cross-sectional study in the province of Liege, Belgium, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2006;91:4769–75.
3. Molitch ME, Clinical manifestations of acromegaly, *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am*, 1992;21:597–614.
4. Nabarro JD, Acromegaly, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 1987;26:481–512.
5. Swearingen B, Barker FG, 2nd, Katznelson L, et al., Long-term mortality after transsphenoidal surgery and adjunctive therapy for acromegaly, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 1998;83:3419–26.
6. Rajasoorya C, Holdaway IM, Wrightson P, et l., Determinants of clinical outcome and survival in acromegaly, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 1994;41:95–102.
7. Mestron A, Webb SM, Astorga R, et al., Epidemiology, clinical characteristics, outcome, morbidity and mortality in acromegaly based on the Spanish Acromegaly Registry (Registro Espanol de Acromegalia, REA), *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2004;151:439–46.
8. Dobrashian RD, O'Halloran DJ, Hunt A, et al., Relationships between insulin-like growth factor-1 levels and growth hormone concentrations during diurnal profiles and following oral glucose in acromegaly, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 1993;38:589–93.
9. Frystyk J, Freda P, Clemmons DR, The current status of IGF-I assays – a 2009 update, *Growth Horm IGF Res*, 2010;20:8–18.
10. Pokrajac A, Wark G, Ellis AR, et al., Variation in GH and IGF-I assays limits the applicability of international consensus criteria to local practice, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 2007;67:65–70.
11. Katznelson L, Approach to the patient with persistent acromegaly after pituitary surgery, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2010;95:4114–23.
12. Giustina A, Melmed S, Acromegaly consensus: the next steps, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2003;88:1913–4.
13. Kirchengast S, Hartmann B, Huber J, Serum levels of sex hormones, thyroid hormones, growth hormone, IGF I, and cortisol and their relations to body fat distribution in healthy women dependent on their menopausal status, *Z Morphol Anthropol*, 1996;81:223–34.
14. Freda PU, Post KD, Powell JS, Wardlaw SL, Evaluation of disease status with sensitive measures of growth hormone secretion in 60 postoperative patients with acromegaly, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 1998;83:3808–16.
15. Baier TG, Jenne EW, Blum W, et al., Influence of antibodies against IGF-I, insulin or their receptors on proliferation of human acute lymphoblastic leukemia cell lines, *Leuk Res*, 1992;16:807–14.
16. Puder JJ, Nilavar S, Post KD, Freda PU, Relationship between disease-related morbidity and biochemical markers of activity in patients with acromegaly, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2005;90:1972–8.
17. Trainer PJ, Editorial: acromegaly – consensus, what consensus?, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2002;87:3534–6.
18. Dimaraki EV, Jaffe CA, DeMott-Friberg R, et al., Acromegaly with apparently normal GH secretion: implications for diagnosis and follow-up, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2002;87:3537–42.
19. Zirkzee EJ, Corssmit EP, Biermasz NR, et al., Pituitary magnetic resonance imaging is not required in the postoperative follow-up of acromegalic patients with long-term biochemical cure after transsphenoidal surgery, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2004;89:4320–4.
20. Bourdelot A, Coste J, Hazebroucq V, et al., Clinical, hormonal and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) predictors of transsphenoidal surgery outcome in acromegaly, *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2004;150:763–71.
21. Jane JA, Jr, Starke RM, Elzoghby MA, et al., Endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery for acromegaly: remission using modern criteria, complications, and predictors of outcome, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2011;96:2732–40.
22. Nomikos P, Buchfelder M, Fahlbusch R, The outcome of surgery in 668 patients with acromegaly using current criteria of biochemical 'cure', *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2005;152:379–87.
23. Petrossians P, Borges-Martins L, Espinoza C, et al., Gross total resection or debulking of pituitary adenomas improves hormonal control of acromegaly by somatostatin analogs, *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2005;152:61–6.
24. Saeki N, Iuchi T, Isono S, et al., MRI of growth hormone-secreting pituitary adenomas: factors determining pretreatment hormone levels, *Neuroradiology*, 1999;41:765–71.
25. Shimon I, Cohen ZR, Ram Z, Hadani M, Transsphenoidal surgery for acromegaly: endocrinological follow-up of 98 patients, *Neurosurgery*, 2001;48:1239–43; discussion 1244–35.
26. Krieger MD, Couldwell WT, Weiss MH, Assessment of long-term remission of acromegaly following surgery, *J Neurosurg*, 2003;98:719–24.
27. Carmichael JD, Bonert VS, Mirocha JM, Melmed S, The utility of oral glucose tolerance testing for diagnosis and assessment of

treatment outcomes in 166 patients with acromegaly, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2009;94:523–7.

28. Melmed S, Colao A, Barkan A, et al., Guidelines for acromegaly management: an update, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009;94:1509-1517.

29. Giustina A, Chanson P, Bronstein MD, et al., A consensus on criteria for cure of acromegaly, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2010;95:3141–8.

30. Dina TS, Feaster SH, Laws ER, Jr, Davis DO, MR of the pituitary gland postsurgery: serial MR studies following transsphenoidal resection, *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*, 1993;14:763–9.

31. Carlsen SM, Lund-Johansen M, Schreiner T, et al., Preoperative octreotide treatment in newly diagnosed acromegalic patients with macroadenomas increases cure short-term postoperative rates: a prospective, randomized trial, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2008;93:2984–90.

32. Mao ZG, Zhu YH, Tang HL, et al., Preoperative lanreotide treatment in acromegalic patients with macroadenomas increases short-term postoperative cure rates: a prospective, randomised trial, *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2010;162:661–6.

33. Khan ZH, Rasouli MR, Intubation in patients with acromegaly: experience in more than 800 patients, *Eur J Anaesthesiol*, 2009;26:354–5.

34. Damjanovic SS, Neskovic AN, Petakov MS, et al., High output heart failure in patients with newly diagnosed acromegaly, *Am J Med*, 2002;112:610–6.

35. Hradec J, Kral J, Janota T, et al., Regression of acromegalic left ventricular hypertrophy after lanreotide (a slow-release somatostatin analog), *Am J Cardiol* 1999;83:1506–9, A1508.

36. Lombardi G, Colao A, Marzullo P, et al., Improvement of left ventricular hypertrophy and arrhythmias after lanreotide-induced GH and IGF-I decrease in acromegaly. A prospective multi-center study, *J Endocrinol Invest*, 2002;25:971–6.

37. Katznelson L, Drug insight: Primary medical therapy of acromegaly, *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab*, 2006;2:109–17; quiz following 117.

38. Colao A, Pivonello R, Auriemma RS, et al., Predictors of tumor shrinkage after primary therapy with somatostatin analogs in acromegaly: a prospective study in 99 patients, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2006;91:2112–8.

39. Machado EO, Taboada GF, Neto LV, et al., Prevalence of discordant GH and IGF-I levels in acromegalics at diagnosis, after surgical treatment and during treatment with octreotide LAR, *Growth Horm IGF Res*, 2008;18:389–93.

40. Salvatori R, Nachtigall LB, Cook DM, et al., Effectiveness of self- or partner-administration of an extended-release aqueous-gel formulation of lanreotide in lanreotide-naive patients with acromegaly, *Pituitary*, 2010;13:115–22.

41. Yetkin DO, Boysan SN, Tiryakioglu O, et al., Forty month follow-up of persistent and difficultly controlled acromegalic patients treated with depot long acting somatostatin analog octreotide, *Endocr J*, 2007;54:459–64.

42. Trainer PJ, Drake WM, Katznelson L, et al., Treatment of acromegaly with the growth hormone-receptor antagonist pegvisomant, *N Engl J Med*, 2000;342:1171–7.

43. Van der Lely AJ, Hutson RK, Trainer PJ, et al., Long-term treatment of acromegaly with pegvisomant, a growth hormone receptor antagonist, *Lancet*, 2001;358:1754–9.

44. Melmed S, Medical management of acromegaly – what and when?, *Acta Endocrinol (Copenh)*, 1993;129(Suppl. 1):13–7.

45. Abs R, Verhelst J, Maiter D, et al., Cabergoline in the treatment of acromegaly: a study in 64 patients, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 1998;83:374–8.

46. Sandret L, Maison P, Chanson P, Place of cabergoline in acromegaly: a meta-analysis, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2011;96:1327–35.

47. Cozzi R, Attanasio R, Lodrini S, Lasio G, Cabergoline addition to depot somatostatin analogues in resistant acromegalic patients:

efficacy and lack of predictive value of prolactin status, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 2004;61:209–15.

48. Moyes VJ, Metcalfe KA, Drake WM, Clinical use of cabergoline as primary and adjunctive treatment for acromegaly, *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2008;159:541–5.

49. Schade R, Andersohn F, Suissa S, et al., Dopamine agonists and the risk of cardiac-valve regurgitation, *N Engl J Med*, 2007;356:29–38.

50. Colao A, Pivonello R, Rosato F, et al., First-line octreotide-LAR therapy induces tumour shrinkage and controls hormone excess in patients with acromegaly: results from an open, prospective, multicentre trial, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 2006;64:342–51.

51. Freda PU, Katznelson L, van der Lely AJ, et al., Long-acting somatostatin analog therapy of acromegaly: a meta-analysis, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2005;90:4465–73.

52. Murray RD, Melmed S, A critical analysis of clinically available somatostatin analog formulations for therapy of acromegaly, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2008;93:2957–68.

53. Melmed S, Sternberg R, Cook D, et al., A critical analysis of pituitary tumor shrinkage during primary medical therapy in acromegaly, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2005;90:4405–10.

54. Ezzat S, Kontogeorgos G, Redelmeier DA, et al., In vivo responsiveness of morphological variants of growth hormone-producing pituitary adenomas to octreotide, *Eur J Endocrinol*, 1995;133:686–90.

55. Colao A, Ferone D, Lastoria S, et al., Prediction of efficacy of octreotide therapy in patients with acromegaly, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 1996;81:2356–62.

56. Ghigo E, Biller BM, Colao A, et al., Comparison of pegvisomant and long-acting octreotide in patients with acromegaly naive to radiation and medical therapy, *J Endocrinol Invest*, 2009;32:924–33.

57. Neggers SJ, de Herder WW, Janssen JA, et al., Combined treatment for acromegaly with long-acting somatostatin analogs and pegvisomant: long-term safety for up to 4.5 years (median 2.2 years) of follow-up in 86 patients, *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2009;160:529–33.

58. Buhk JH, Jung S, Psychogios MN, et al., Tumor volume of growth hormone-secreting pituitary adenomas during treatment with pegvisomant: a prospective multicenter study, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2010;95:552–8.

59. Schreiber I, Buchfelder M, Droste M, et al., Treatment of acromegaly with the GH receptor antagonist pegvisomant in clinical practice: safety and efficacy evaluation from the German Pegvisomant Observational Study, *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2007;156:75–82.

60. Bonert VS, Kennedy L, Petersenn S, et al., Lipodystrophy in patients with acromegaly receiving pegvisomant, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2008;93:3515–8.

61. Giustina A, Bonadonna S, Bugari G, et al., High-dose intramuscular octreotide in patients with acromegaly inadequately controlled on conventional somatostatin analogue therapy: a randomised controlled trial, *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2009;161:331–8.

62. Van der Lely AJ, Bernabeu I, Cap J, et al., Coadministration of lanreotide Autogel and pegvisomant normalizes IGF1 levels and is well tolerated in patients with acromegaly partially controlled by somatostatin analogs alone, *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2011;164:325–33.

63. Jallad RS, Bronstein MD, Optimizing medical therapy of acromegaly: beneficial effects of cabergoline in patients uncontrolled with long-acting release octreotide, *Neuroendocrinology*, 2009;90:82–92.

64. Trainer PJ, Ezzat S, D'Souza GA, et al., A randomized, controlled, multicentre trial comparing pegvisomant alone with combination therapy of pegvisomant and long-acting octreotide in patients with acromegaly, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 2009;71:549–57.

65. Katznelson L, Atkinson JL, Cook DM, et al., American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists Medical Guidelines for Clinical

Practice for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acromegaly – 2011 update: executive summary, *Endocr Pract*, 2011;17:636–46.

66. Castinetti F, Morange I, Dufour H, et al., Radiotherapy and radiosurgery in acromegaly, *Pituitary*, 2009;12:3–10.

67. Barrande G, Pittino-Lungo M, Coste J, et al., Hormonal and metabolic effects of radiotherapy in acromegaly: long-term results in 128 patients followed in a single center, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2000;85:3779–85.

68. Jenkins PJ, Bates P, Carson MN, et al., Conventional pituitary irradiation is effective in lowering serum growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor-I in patients with acromegaly, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2006;91:1239–45.

69. Minniti G, Jaffrain-Rea ML, Osti M, et al., The long-term efficacy of conventional radiotherapy in patients with GH-secreting pituitary adenomas, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 2005;62:210–6.

70. Jallad RS, Musolino NR, Salgado LR, Bronstein MD, Treatment of acromegaly: is there still a place for radiotherapy?, *Pituitary*, 2007;10:53–9.

71. Terzolo M, Matrella C, Boccuzzi A, et al., Twenty-four hour profile of blood pressure in patients with acromegaly. Correlation with demographic, clinical and hormonal features, *J Endocrinol Invest*, 1999;22:48–54.

72. Castinetti F, Taieb D, Kuhn JM, et al., Outcome of gamma knife radiosurgery in 82 patients with acromegaly: correlation with initial hypersecretion, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2005;90:4483–8.

73. Jezkova J, Marek J, Hana V, et al., Gamma knife radiosurgery for acromegaly – long-term experience, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 2006;64:588–95.

74. Pollock BE, Jacob JT, Brown PD, Nippoldt TB, Radiosurgery of growth hormone-producing pituitary adenomas: factors associated with biochemical remission, *J Neurosurg*, 2007;106:833–8.

75. Attanasio R, Epaminonda P, Motti E, et al., Gamma-knife radiosurgery in acromegaly: a 4-year follow-up study, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2003;88:3105–12.

76. Landolt AM, Haller D, Lomax N, et al., Stereotactic radiosurgery for recurrent surgically treated acromegaly: comparison with fractionated radiotherapy, *J Neurosurg*, 1998;88:1002–8.

77. Minniti G, Gilbert DC, Brada M, Modern techniques for pituitary radiotherapy, *Rev Endocr Metab Disord*, 2009;10:135–44.

78. Landolt AM, Haller D, Lomax N, et al., Octreotide may act as a radioprotective agent in acromegaly, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2000;85:1287–9.

79. Littley MD, Shalet SM, Beardwell CG, et al., Radiation-induced hypopituitarism is dose-dependent, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 1989;31:363–73.

80. Minniti G, Traish D, Ashley S, et al., Risk of second brain tumor after conservative surgery and radiotherapy for pituitary adenoma: update after an additional 10 years, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2005;90:800–4.

81. Rowe J, Grainger A, Walton L, et al., Risk of malignancy after gamma knife stereotactic radiosurgery, *Neurosurgery*, 2007; 60:60–5; discussion 65–6.

82. Dworakowska D, Gueorguiev M, Kelly P, et al., Repeated colonoscopic screening of patients with acromegaly: 15-year experience identifies those at risk of new colonic neoplasia and allows for effective screening guidelines, *Eur J Endocrinol*, 2010;163:21–8.

83. Terzolo M, Reimondo G, Gasperi M, et al., Colonoscopic screening and follow-up in patients with acromegaly: a multicenter study in Italy, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2005;90:84–90.

84. Pekkarinen T, Partinen M, Pelkonen R, Iivanainen M, Sleep apnoea and daytime sleepiness in acromegaly: relationship to endocrinological factors, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 1987;27:649–54.

85. Pelttari L, Polo O, Rauhala E, et al., Nocturnal breathing abnormalities in acromegaly after adenomectomy, *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*, 1995;43:175–82.