Which One to Endorse Among the Multitude of Lipid Guidelines?

Alper Sonmez

Associate Professor in Medicine, Gulhane Military Medical Academy, School of Medicine, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Ankara, Turkey

Abstract

Dyslipidemia is the major risk factor for atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases. A multitude of lipid guidelines exist, with several controversies, and the best approach in dyslipidemia management is not clear. The tools and lipoproteins used for risk assessment, whether to use a treatment target and implementing drugs other than statins are all controversial points. Until the time for the publication of an agreeable lipid guideline, physicians should choose their way by considering the advantages and disadvantages of the existing guidelines.

Keywords

Dyslipidemia management, atherosclerosis, cardiovascular disease

Disclosure: Alper Sonmez has no conflicts of interest to declare. No funding was received for the publication of this article.

Open Access: This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License, which permits any non-commercial use, distribution, adaptation and reproduction provided the original author(s) and source are given appropriate credit. © The Author(s) 2015

Received: 11 March 2015 Published Online: 10 April 2015 Citation: European Endocrinology, 2015;11(1):32–3

Correspondence: Alper Sonmez, Associate Professor in Medicine, Gulhane Military Medical Academy, School of Medicine, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, 06018 Etlik Ankara, Turkey. E: alpersonmez@yahoo.com

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are the leading cause of death in the West,¹ and dyslipidemia is the major risk factor for atherosclerosis.² The majority of CVD events would be more susceptible to prevention with effective management for prevention of dyslipidemia. Unfortunately, many patients who have high cardiovascular risk still have unfavourable lipid profiles.³ Because the field of lipidology is rapidly growing, medical practitioners need clinical practice guidelines to apply evidence-based medicine daily in dyslipidemia management. However, today, physicians are bewildered by a multitude of guidelines written by different professional societies, which have more diversities than commonalities.⁴-¹¹ This seems to be a critical reason for the suboptimal management of dyslipidemia-related cardiovascular risk.

There are important differences between the current lipid guidelines, such as the recommended lipoprotein measurements for risk assessments, tools used to estimate CVD risk and whether to use a treatment target or to implement drugs other than statins. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) is the primary target in most of the current guidelines. However, the International Atherosclerosis Society (ISA) favours non-high-density (HDL)-C as the primary target of dyslipidemia management. Moreover, the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) recommends calculation of non-HDL-C in the presence of elevated triglycerides, diabetes, insulin resistance or coronary artery disease. Indeed, the predictive power of non-HDL-C is better than that of LDL-C in various clinical situations, I3,14 and it is also superior to LDL-C measurement, being able to be performed in a non-fasting state. Essems that using non-HDL-C in risk assessment is practical, as recommended by the ISA and AACE guidelines.

Another controversial point surrounding the current guidelines is the method of CVD risk assessment. CVD risk is defined as the risk of both mortality and morbidity in the majority of these guidelines. The joint guideline of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European

32

Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) are more robust than the other guidelines, calculating the fatal CVD risk only.⁵ Also, most current guidelines calcul ate 10-year risk of CVD. However, ISA recommends measuring the lifetime risk.¹⁰ Most recently, the American College of Cardiologists (ACC) and American Heart Association (AHA) also recommend measuring 30-year risk of CVD events in patients aged of 20–59.⁷ It is essential to measure long-term or lifetime risk, especially in young adults, who seem to have minimal risk using only 10-year risk. Moreover, these guidelines only reflect the data of the cohort populations enrolled, so the prudent physician must discover whether a tool considers the race, sex and age of an individual patient before using it for risk estimation.

The latest ACC/AHA guidelines further aggravate the ongoing debate by taking a significantly different approach to lipid management in that the authors of have only considered 'good-quality' evidence, such as randomised controlled trials, meta-analyses and systematic reviews.7 Several common practices of the previous guidelines were not supported, with the evidences behind them being considered not 'good enough'. The guideline simply defines four specific groups of patients who benefit from moderate- or high-dose statin therapy, recommending no drugs other than statins to treat high LDL-C levels. The new guideline's most controversial approach is to abandon specific LDL-C targets and recommend a 'fire-and-forget' strategy. This approach, also endorsed for chronic kidney disease patients in the recent Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) guidelines,8 has been highly criticised by many other authorities, especially in light of the results of the IMPROVE-IT study.16 The other problem with the new ACC/AHA guidelines is that the algorithm used to assess the 10year risk of the CVD risk significantly overestimates the risk, 17 indicating start of statin therapy for dyslipidemia patients without atherosclerotic CVD, who are otherwise not candidates of lipid-lowering treatment. Moreover, the guideline does not address persons aged <40 years or aged >75 years, racial and ethnic groups besides whites and African

Publication Date: 11 April 2015

Americans or persons who have conditions such as CKD. Accordingly, it is not possible to say that the new ACC/AHA guideline meets all needs of physicians in its current form.

In conclusion, the existing dispute between the leading authorities backing the current lipid guidelines must be resolved, ideally by

organizing a working group in dyslipidemia management and integrating existing guidelines into a general consensus document. However, owing to the number of controversial areas, this is not likely to occur soon. Until it does, physicians working in the field must make their own decisions for each patient by taking into consideration the advantages and disadvantages of the existing guidelines. []

- Yang Q, Zhong Y, Ritchey M, et al., Predicted 10-year risk of developing cardiovascular disease at the state level in the US, Am J Prev Med, 2015;48:58–9.
- Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al.; INTERHEART Study Investigators, Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with MI in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study, *Lancet*, 2004;364:937–52.
 Sachdeva A, Cannon CP, Deedwania PC, et al., Lipid levels
- Sachdeva A, Cannon CP, Deedwania PC, et al., Lipid levels in patients hospitalized with coronary artery disease: an analysis of 136,905 hospitalizations in Get With The Guidelines, Am Heart J, 2009;157:111–7.
- National Cholesterol Education Program, Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III): final report, Circulation, 2002;106:3149–421.
- Reiner Z, Catapano A, De Backer G, et al., ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias—the Task Force for the Management of Dyslipidaemias of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS), Eur Heart J, 2011;32:1769–818.
- Anderson TJ, Gregoire J, Hegele RA, et al., 2012 update of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of dyslipidemia for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in the adult. Can J Cardiol. 2013;29:151–67.

- Stone NJ, Robinson J, Lichtenstein AH, et al., 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, J Am Coll Cardiol, 2014;63:2889–934.
- Wanner C, Tonelli M, Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes Lipid Guideline Development Work Group Members, KDIGO Clinical Practice Guideline for Lipid Management in CKD: summary of recommendation statements and clinical approach to the patient, Kidney Int, 2014;85:1303–9.
- Goldberg AC, Hopkins PN, Toth PP, et al., Familial hypercholesterolemia: screening, diagnosis and management of pediatric and adult patients: clinical guidance from the National Lipid Association Expert Panel on Familial Hypercholesterolemia, J Clin Lipidol, 2011;5 Suppl. 3:S1–8.
- Grundy SM, Arai H, Barter P, et al., An International Atherosclerosis Society position paper: global recommendations for the management of dyslipidemia, Atherosclerosis, 2014;232:410–3.
- Jellinger PS, Smith DA, Mehta AE, et al., American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists guidelines for management of dyslipidemia and prevention of atherosclerosis, *Endocr Pract*, 2012;18:270–83.

- 12. Morris PB, Ballantyne CM, Birtcher KK, et al., Review of clinical practice guidelines for the management of LDL-related risk,
- J Am Coll Cardiol, 2014;64:196–206.

 13. Boekholdt SM, Arsenault BJ, Mora S, et al., Association of LDL cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol, and apolipoprotein B levels with risk of cardiovascular events among patients treated with statins: a meta-analysis, JAMA, 2012;307:1302–9.

 14. Robinson JG, Wang S, Jacobson TA, Meta-analysis of
- Robinson JG, Wang S, Jacobson TA, Meta-analysis of comparison of effectiveness of lowering apolipoprotein B versus low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and non-highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol for cardiovascular risk reduction in randomized trials, Am J Cardiol, 2012;110:1468–76.
- Langsted A, Freiberg JJ, Nordestgaard BG, Fasting and nonfasting lipid levels: influence of normal food intake on lipids, lipoproteins, apolipoproteins, and cardiovascular risk prediction, *Circulation*, 2008;118:2047–56.
 Cannon C, Abstract: IMPROVE-IT Trial: A comparison of
- Cannon C, Abstract: IMPROVE-IT Trial: A comparison of ezetimibe/simvastatin versus simvastatin monotherapy on cardiovascular outcomes after acute coronary syndromes, American Heart Association 2014 Scientific Sessions, November 17, 2014, Chicago, IL.
 DeFilippis AP, Young R, Carrubba CJ, et al., An analysis of
- DeFilippis AP, Young R, Carrubba CJ, et al., An analysis of calibration and discrimination among multiple cardiovascular risk scores in a modern multiethnic cohort, Ann Intern Med, 2015;162:266–75.

EUROPEAN ENDOCRINOLOGY 33