# Sulfonylureas at the Glomerular Battlefield

Sanjay Kalra, 1 Deepak Khandelwal, 2 Sarita Bajaj 3 and Ashok Kumar Das 4

1. Department of Endocrinology, Bharti Hospital, Karnal, Haryana, India; 2. Department of Endocrinology, Maharaja Agrasen Hospital, Punjabi Bagh, New Delhi, India; 3. Department of Medicine, Moti Lal Nehru Medical College, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India; 4. Department of General Medicine, Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences, Puducherry, India

DOI: https://doi.org/10.17925/EE.2018.14.2.15

he kidney is important in the context of diabetes not only because it is involved in normal glucose homeostasis, but also because it is one of the most important end organs to be involved in diabetes complications. Glomeruli are the meeting point of both microvascular, or renal; and macrovascular, or cardiovascular, health in diabetes. Sulfonylureas are effective glucose-lowering drugs. While a sulfonylurea-based glucose-lowering strategy is nephro-safe and nephro-protective, this aspect of sulfonylurea pharmaco-biology has not been highlighted earlier. In this editorial, we discuss current preclinical and clinical trial evidence regarding the performance of modern sulfonylureas at the glomerular battlefield.

#### Keywords

Sulfonylurea, gliclazide, glimepiride, kidney, glomerulus

**Disclosure**: Sanjay Kalra, Deepak Khandelwal, Sarita Bajaj and Ashok Kumar Das have nothing to declare in relation to this article.

**Review Process:** This article is a short opinion piece and has not been submitted to external peer reviewers, but was reviewed for accuracy by the editorial board before publication.

**Authorship**: All named authors meet the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) criteria for authorship of this manuscript, take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, and have given final approval to the version to be published.

Open Access: This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License, which permits any non-commercial use, distribution, adaptation and reproduction provided the original author(s) and source are given appropriate credit. © The Authors 2018.

Received: 19 April 2018 Published Online: 20 July 2018

Citation: European Endocrinology. 2018;14(2):15–7

Corresponding Author: Sanjay Kalra, Department of Endocrinology, Bharti Hospital, Karnal, India. E: brideknl@gmail.com

**Support**: No funding was received in the publication of this article.

The glomeruli (also known as Malpighian bodies) were first described four and a half centuries ago, in 1666. Marcello Malpighi showed that these structures were a continuation of the renal vasculature. Two centuries later, in 1842, William Bowman detailed their architecture and demonstrated the continuity between the capsule and the proximal tubule.

## **Physioanatomy**

The glomeruli are vascular structures comprising a tuft of capillaries located at the start of each nephron. The 0.8–1.5 million glomeruli of each kidney receive their blood supply from afferent arterioles, and exit into high-resistance efferent arterioles. The pressure gradient creates a hydrostatic force which facilitates ultrafiltration and allows excretion of waste products. The glomerular filtration rate is a measure of renal function.<sup>12</sup>

The glomeruli are also the site of intense endocrine activity. The afferent arteriole walls synthesise renin, and juxtaglomerular cells play host to the renin-angiotensin system (RAS). These help regulate blood volume and blood pressure by multiple and complex mechanisms. The glomeruli produce multiple hormones related to the RAS, and are their target as well.<sup>12</sup>

Damage to the glomerulus may alter its permeability and allow excretion of albumin in urine. The phenomenon, detected as microalbuminuria or macroalbuminuria, is a marker of both diabetic nephropathy and cardiovascular disease. Microalbuminuria, in fact, has been described as a marker that has revolutionised diabetes care.<sup>3</sup> Thus, the glomeruli are the meeting point of both microvascular, or renal; and macrovascular, or cardiovascular, health in diabetes.

## **Pharmacology**

Maintenance and improvement of glomerular health is an important strategy, as well as target, in metabolic and diabetes care. This is achieved by using various nonpharmacological and pharmacological tools. Advances in drug development have meant that the glomerular battlefield now plays host to multiple pharmaceutical warriors. Their usage is backed not only by pathophysiological justification, but also by robust evidence derived from randomised clinical trials and cardiovascular outcomes trials.<sup>4</sup>

Modern blood-pressure-lowering drugs, such as angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi), and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), as well as glucose-lowering drugs, including glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists (GLP1RA) and sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) have been demonstrated to attenuate albuminuria. This pharmaco-physiological effect is postulated to contribute to their cardiovascular benefit.

In recent years, interest has rekindled in the utility of modern sulfonylureas as effective and safe glucose-lowering drugs. Multinational consensus publication documents the

15

role of these drugs in monotherapy and in combination with other glucose-lowering molecules. <sup>6,7</sup> While a sulfonylurea-based glucose-lowering strategy is nephro-safe and nephro-protective, this aspect of sulfonylurea pharmaco-biology has not been highlighted earlier. In this brief communication, we share current preclinical and clinical trial evidence regarding the performance of modern sulfonylureas at the glomerular battlefield.

#### **Preclinical studies**

The antioxidant and positive haemorrheological effects of gliclazide have been studied by researchers in great detail. Recently, gliclazide was compared with glibenclamide in a murine model of streptozotocin-induced diabetes, fed a 4% cholesterol diet. The induction of high-cholesterol diet led to an increase in renal nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate [NAD (P) H] oxidase, reduction in manganese super oxide dismutase (MnSOD) and a rise in urinary lipid peroxidation products and  $\rm H_2O_2$  excretion. Gliclazide, but not glibenclamide, was able to reverse these abnormalities, and enhance endothelial nitric oxide synthase (e NOS) expression.§

Gliclazide also ameliorated glomerular macrophage migration, mesangial matrix expansion and albuminuria in the murine model. This beneficial effect is thought to be due to multiple causes, which seem to be drug-specific rather than class-specific (*Table 1*).

## **Long-term trials**

The importance of the glomerular battlefield is underscored by the fact that most cardiovascular benefit noted in long-term and cardiovascular outcome trials is reported to be due to renal or microvascular risk reduction, as opposed to macrovascular risk attenuation. This is true for the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS), the UKPDS follow-up at 10 years,9 the Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron Modified Release Controlled Evaluation (ADVANCE) trial,10 the ADVANCE observational study (ADVANCE ON)11 and the STENO-2 trial (NCT00320008).4 It must be noted that UKPDS, ADVANCE and STENO 2 all used sulfonylureas as a routine glucose-lowering therapy, with the latter two studies using gliclazide as the sulfonylurea of choice.

### The legacy effect

The long-term follow-up studies demonstrate that an initial phase of intensive control protects against long-term development of end-stage renal disease in persons with type 2 diabetes. <sup>12,13</sup> Early institution of optimal glycaemic control, while renal function is still preserved, has the best results. Thus, the glomerular battlefield is one which should be targeted early on in the course of the war against diabetes. While the choice of glucose-lowering therapy is decided by multiple factors, the results of ADVANCE and ADVANCE ON, as well as STENO 2, suggest that the modern sulfonylurea – gliclazide – is an effective warrior in the fight for glomerular and renal health.

In the ADVANCE trial, intensive glucose lowering by the use of gliclazide modified-release (MR) based treatment resulted in significant relative risk reduction of 10% for the combined outcome of major macrovascular and microvascular events and 21% for a hard renal endpoint, i.e. new or worsening nephropathy. Moreover, ADVANCE-ON, the observational follow-up trial of ADVANCE, has reported the legacy benefits with gliclazide MR and revealed that intensive glucose control was associated with a long-term reduction in end-stage renal disease (ESRD). During this follow-up trial for 9.9 years, a persisted, significant risk reduction for ESRD was observed with intensive glucose control

Table 1: Nephro-protective effect of gliclazide – postulated mechanisms

- · Scavenging of reactive oxygen species
  - by azabicyclo-octyl ring structure
    - superoxide anion
    - hydroxyl radicals
- NAD (P) H oxidase suppression
- · Up-regulation of MnSOD
- Enhanced NO availability (increased e NOs)
  - ICAM-1 suppression
  - Reduction of glomerular macrophage infiltration

e NOs = endothelial nitric oxide synthase; ICAM-1 intercellular adhesion molecule 1; MnSOD = manganese super oxide dismutase; NAD (P) H - manganese adenine dinucleotide phosphate; NO = manganese super oxide.

(hazard ratio [HR] 0.54 [95% confidence interval [CI] 0.34, 0.85], p<0.01). The trial also established that patients with preserved kidney function benefit most with the ADVANCE treatment regimen, while those with diabetic kidney disease stages 1–5 benefit in a decreasing order.

#### **Randomised controlled trials**

Various studies have demonstrated the free-radical scavenging or antioxidative effect of gliclazide in persons with type 2 diabetes. In a study conducted on 50 Indian participants with type 2 diabetes, Chugh et al. found significantly raised levels of malonyldialdehyde (MDA) and superoxide dismutase (SOD), and decreased levels of reduced glutathione (GSH) in uncontrolled diabetes. This was thought to indicate free radical stress-induced lipid peroxidation. A significant fall of MDA and SOD and increased levels of GSH in blood occurred after control with either gliclazide or glibenclamide, suggests beneficial effects of glycaemic control on oxidative stress. On intergroup comparison, gliclazide-treated participants showed greater improvement in oxidative stress (MDA, GSH) (p<0.001) than those on glibenclamide. The levels did not achieve normalcy in either group, however.<sup>14</sup>

Jennings et al. performed a blinded clinical trial in 30 participants with type 2 diabetes, on treatment for ≥2 years (mean 8 years), including glibenclamide for >2 years, with or without adjunctive metformin therapy. Patients were studied for 6 months and randomised to continue either their present dose of glibenclamide or to be converted to an equipotent dose of gliclazide. At 3 months, there was significant improvement in the oxidative status of the gliclazide-treated patients. Lipid peroxides decreased (8.3  $\pm$  1.1 to 7.0  $\pm$  0.06  $\mu$ mol/L, p<0.01) and red blood cell SOD increased (135  $\pm$  21 to 152  $\pm$  36  $\mu$ g/mL, p<0.05). Plasma albumin-thiols (PSH) levels were unaltered at 458 ± 38 µmol/L, whereas they had decreased significantly in the glibenclamide patients (414  $\pm$  34  $\mu$ mol/L, p<0.05), (p<0.004). Platelet reactivity to collagen also improved in the gliclazide-treated patients, decreasing from  $65.1\% \pm 14\%$  to  $50.8\% \pm 24\%$  (p<0.01), while it did not change in the glibenclamide cohort. Differences persisted at 6 months. Hence, gliclazide demonstrated free radical scavenging activity independent of glycaemic control.15

# **Real-world evidence**

In an effort to assess renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes in persons treated with different sulfonylureas, a Korean study enrolled 4,486 participants treated with either gliclazide or glimepiride for  $\geq$ 2 years, and followed them for a mean of 5.5 years (median 4.7 years). In a matched cohort follow-up at 12,122 person-years, the risk of developing ESRD or doubling of serum creatinine was similar.

Gliclazide use was associated with a lower risk of doubling of creatinine in participants with a glomerular filtration rate  $\geq$ 60 ml/min/1.73m² at baseline (HR 0.21, 95% CI 0.04–0.99), HbA1c <7% (HR 0.35, 95% CI: 0.14–0.86) and age  $\geq$ 26 years (HR 0.52, 95% CI: 0.27–0.99). The study cohorts, which were matched by propensity score, included a significant number of participants with chronic kidney disease (CKD) stage 3–5 (n=332 on glimepiride, n=352 on gliclazide). <sup>16</sup>

Thus, modern sulfonylureas may have a nephro-protective effect in specific subsets of persons with type 2 diabetes. The statistically significant nephro-protective results obtained with gliclazide may be explained by its antioxidant effects, which may be more relevant in persons with relatively better glycaemic control (HbA1c <7%), less genetic or structural causes predisposing to kidney disease (the elderly), and preserved renal function (CKD stage 1–3).<sup>16</sup>

## **Renal safety**

Renal safety is an important concern while prescribing glucose-lowering therapy. Modern sulfonuylureas have been shown to be safe in chronic kidney disease. <sup>17</sup> According to the South Asian Federation of Endocrine Societies (SAFES) consensus on safe and smart use of sulfonylureas, gliclazide and gliclazide MR can be used without dose modification in CKD stages 4 and 5.6 Glimepiride can be used in low once-daily doses. American guidelines from nephrology and diabetology organisations suggest that modern sulfonylureas can be used in conservative doses. <sup>17,18</sup>

### **Summary**

Sulfonylureas are effective glucose-lowering drugs. Apart from their efficacy in achieving glycaemia, they are also proven to be reno-safe, and are helpful in improving renal health. The positive microvascular legacy effect noted with gliclazide MR provides evidence that this molecule is a useful warrior on the glomerular battlefield.  $\square$ 

- Masereeuw R, Mutsaers HA, Toyohara T, et al. The kidney and uremic toxin removal: glomerulus or tubule? Semin Nephrol. 2014;34:191–208.
- Sparks MA, Crowley SD, Gurley SB, et al. Classical Renin-Angiotensin System in Kidney Physiology. Comp Physiol. 2014;4:1201–28.
- Parving HH, Persson F, Rossing P. Microalbuminuria: a parameter that has changed diabetes care. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2015;107:1–8.
- Gæde P, Oellgaard J, Carstensen B, et al. Years of life gained by multifactorial intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and microalbuminuria: 21 years follow-up on the Steno-2 randomised trial. Diabetologia. 2016;59:2298–307.
- Eboh C, Chowdhury TA. Management of diabetic renal disease. Ann Transl Med. 2015;3:154.
- Kalra S, Aamir AH, Raza A, et al. Place of sulfonylureas in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus in south Asia: A consensus statement. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.* 2015;19:572–96.
- 7. Kalra S, Bahendeka S, Sahay R, et al. Consensus

- recommendations on sulfonylurea and sulfonylurea combinations in the management of Type 2 diabetes mellitus-International Task Force. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.* 2018;22:132.
- Onozato ML, Tojo A, Goto A, Fujita T. Radical scavenging effect
  of gliclazide in diabetic rats fed with a high cholesterol diet.
  Kidney Int. 2004;65:951–60.
- Holman RR, Paul SK, Bethel MA, et al. 10-year follow-up of intensive glucose control in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:1577–89.
- Patel A, ADVANCE Collaborative Group. Effects of a fixed combination of perindopril and indapamide on macrovascular and microvascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (the ADVANCE trial): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2007;370:829–40.
- Wong MG, Perkovic V, Chalmers J, et al. Long-term benefits of intensive glucose control for preventing end-stage kidney disease: ADVANCE-ON. Diabetes Care. 2016;39: 694–700
- 12. Kalra S, Ved J, Baruah MP. Diabetes destiny in our hands:

- Achieving metabolic karma. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab*. 2017;21:482.
- Thomas MC. Glycemic exposure, glycemic control, and metabolic karma in diabetic complications. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis. 2014;21:311–7.
- Chugn SN, Dhawan R, Kishore K, et al. Glibenclamide vs gliclazide in reducing oxidative stress in patients of noninsulin dependent diabetes mellitus—a double blind randomized study. J Assoc Physicians India. 2001;49:803–7.
- Jennings PE, Belch JJ. Free radical scavenging activity of sulfonylureas: a clinical assessment of the effect of gliclazide. Metaholism. 2000;49:23-6.
- Metabolism. 2000;49:23-6.

  16. Lee YH, Lee CJ, Lee HS, et al. Comparing kidney outcomes in type 2 diabetes treated with different sulphonylureas in real-life clinical practice. Diabetes Metab. 2015;41:208–15.
- Rocco MV, Berns JS. KDOQI clinical practice guideline for diabetes and CKD: 2012 update. Am J Kidney Dis. 2012;60:850–86.
- Tuttle KR, Bakris GL, Bilous RW, et al. Diabetic kidney disease: a report from an ADA Consensus Conference. Am J Kidney Dis. 2014;64:510–33.

EUROPEAN ENDOCRINOLOGY 17