

Prospective Multicenter Observational Study of Voglibose in Type 2 Diabetes—VICTORY

Sanjay Kalra,¹ A Panneer Selvam,² Amish V Shah,³ Kudugunti Neelaveni,⁴ Navneet Agrawal,⁵ Sambit Das,⁶ Vinay K Dhandhanian,⁷ Subodh Jain,⁸ Pankaj Kumar Jha,⁹ Neha Raval⁹

1. Bharti Hospital, Karnal, India; 2. Aruna Diabetes Centre, Chennai, India; 3. Advanced Diabetes Centre Pvt. Ltd., Surat, India; 4. Endocare, Hyderabad, India; 5. Diabetes Obesity & Thyroid Centre, Gwalior, India; 6. Dr Sambit's Centre of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Bhubaneswar, India; 7. Diabetes Care Centre, Ranchi, India; 8. Diabetes Care Centre, Allahabad, India; 9. Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Ahmedabad, India

Objective: Despite its beneficial effect on postprandial hyperglycemia, effect and usage of voglibose for the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in routine clinical practice remain undetermined. The objective of this non-interventional study is to determine real-world effectiveness of voglibose in terms of efficacy and safety, and the usage pattern as monotherapy or add-on treatment in patients with T2DM in India. **Design:** This was a 12-week, multi-center, prospective, observational study. **Methods:** Adults with inadequately controlled T2DM (glycated hemoglobin [HbA1c] 7.0–10.0% despite diet, exercise and/or antidiabetic agents), treated with voglibose monotherapy or as add-on therapy were recruited from 39 sites. The primary endpoint was change in HbA1c. Secondary endpoints were change in fasting and postprandial blood glucose (FBG and PPBG) levels and bodyweight, pattern of usage and safety assessments. Mean change in HbA1c, FBG and PPBG levels were analyzed using paired t-test with significance value of 5%. Trial Registration: ctri.nic.in CTRI/2017/02/007878. **Results:** A total of 1,542 participants were enrolled and 1,365 completed two study visits. Mean HbA1c was 8.27% at baseline, which reduced to 7.29% at week 12 (0.98% change, $p < 0.001$). At week 12, mean reductions in FBG and PPBG levels and bodyweight were 27.1 mg/dL ($p < 0.001$), 52.2 mg/dL ($p < 0.001$), and 1.00 kg ($p = 0.121$), respectively. Most participants (75%) received voglibose as add-on therapy and the most commonly prescribed concomitant oral antidiabetic drugs were metformin and sulfonylurea (29%). Flatulence (0.5%) and abdominal discomfort (0.3%) were most commonly reported adverse events in the study. **Conclusions:** Voglibose, monotherapy or add-on therapy, significantly reduced HbA1c, FBG and PPBG and was well tolerated in patients with T2DM in a real-world setting.

Keywords

Voglibose, HbA1c, hyperglycemia, diabetes, observational study

Disclosures: Sanjay Kalra, A Panneer Selvam, Amish V Shah, K Neelaveni, Navneet Agrawal, Sambit Das, Vinay K Dhandhanian and Subodh Jain have received consulting fees from Torrent Pharmaceutical Ltd. Pankaj Kumar Jha and Neha Raval are fulltime employees of Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank participating investigators for contributing participants' data for this study. Altaf Makwana and Ashutosh Kakkad were involved in technical editing of the manuscript. Robin Nath and Saurabh Kumar provided administrative support for the conduct of this study. The authors also acknowledge MediCeption Science Pvt Ltd. for providing writing assistance and Devum Research for statistical analysis, which were funded by Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd., India.

Review Process: Double-blind peer review.

Compliance with ethics: All ethical approvals required for the study was obtained before the start of the trial and details have been mentioned in Trial Registration: www.ctri.nic.in (CTRI/2017/02/007878). The study was approved by Sangini Hospital Ethics Committee, Ahmedabad, Gujarat. All procedures were followed in accordance with the responsible committee on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 and subsequent revisions, and informed consent was received from the patient involved in this study.

Authorship: All named authors meet the criteria of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors for authorship for this manuscript, take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole and have given final approval for the version to be published.

Access: This article is freely accessible at touchENDOCRINOLOGY.com. ©Touch Medical Media 2020.

Received: December 5, 2019

Accepted: February 18, 2020

Published Online: March 17, 2020

Citation: *US Endocrinology*. 2020;16(1):Epub ahead of print

Corresponding Author: Pankaj Kumar Jha, Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Torrent House, Off Ashram Road, Ahmedabad – 380009, India. E: pankajjha@torrentpharma.com

Support: No funding was received in the publication of this article. Support: The study was funded by Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd., India. Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd. has provided oversight on the conduct of the study, including design, collection, compilation and analysis of data.

India, being an epicenter of diabetic population, faces increases in disease prevalence of more than double, every 15 years, and is projected to have about 123.5 million cases by the year 2040.¹ In addition to achieving target glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) <7%, intensive control of blood glucose levels is important in order to retard or arrest the development and progression of the microvascular complications of diabetes. Changes in postprandial blood glucose (PPBG) levels precede those in fasting blood glucose (FBG) and finally in HbA1c. Hence, the management of both FBG and PPBG is critical to achieving full glycemic control;² the latter often being more challenging to achieve.³

Voglibose, an alpha-glucosidase inhibitor, reduces PPBG when used alone or in combination with other antidiabetic drugs. Its efficacy and safety are well established in multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs), which conclude it to be an effective oral antidiabetic drug (OAD) with good tolerability profile and cardiovascular benefits.^{4,5}

The design of RCTs, often considered gold standard for evaluating safety and efficacy of therapeutic agents, necessitates strict eligibility criteria for patients' inclusion, which questions the representability of the study population to that encountered in clinical practice. Real-world studies, on the other hand, demonstrate the picture of large datasets from diverse patient populations. Additionally, studies of an observational nature, wherein data have been collected over a long period, provide evaluation of long-term safety and effectiveness of the drug in large heterogeneous populations, as well as information on utilization patterns. Thus, real-world studies have broader generalizability and are an effective tool to confirm the results of RCTs in clinical practice.⁶ However, there are scarce data available to demonstrate effectiveness of voglibose in real-world settings, especially in Indian population. Currently available literature covers a few Indian studies of voglibose in real-life settings, which include only a small number of patients from one or two centers.^{7,8} Hence, this non-interventional, observational study was planned with an objective to assess the real-world effectiveness of voglibose by determining its efficacy, safety and usage as monotherapy or add-on treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) from multiple sites of India.

Methods

VICTORY was a 12-week, prospective, observational, multicenter study conducted between October 2016 and August 2018. Participants were recruited by 39 private clinical practitioners (endocrinologists and diabetologists) from both urban and rural sites across India. Adult participants, of either sex, aged ≥ 18 years, with inadequately controlled T2DM (HbA1c 7.0–10.0%) despite diet, exercise and/or antidiabetic agents were included in the study. Patients with T1DM, those with history of hypoglycemia episodes or ketoacidosis, and other medical conditions that could potentially hinder the safe conduct of the study were excluded. Even though considered safe, use of voglibose is contraindicated in patients with ketoacidosis and is also associated with reports of hypoglycemia.⁹ Based on these facts, we excluded the patients with history of hypoglycemia or ketoacidosis from this study. Patients participating in any other clinical trials and pregnant or lactating women and those of child-bearing potential and not using adequate contraception were also excluded. Data of participants receiving voglibose (0.2 mg or 0.3 mg; either alone or as add-on with other OADs based on investigators' discretion) in routine clinical practice were collected.

The study was performed in compliance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, in accordance with the International Conference of Harmonization Guideline for Good Clinical Practice, and in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements. All participants provided written informed consent. The study was registered at Clinical Trial Registry of India with registration number CTRI/2017/02/007878.

Endpoints

The primary endpoint was mean change in HbA1c levels from baseline to week 12. Secondary endpoints were mean change in FBG, PPBG, and bodyweight from baseline to week 12; the usage patterns for voglibose (monotherapy or add-on therapy with other OADs); and adverse events (AEs) reported during the study period. AEs were classified as mild (no interference with usual activity), moderate (significant interference with usual activities), and severe (prevents usual activities).

Statistical analysis

Considering the large sample size, it was assumed that data were normally distributed according to the central limit theorem. Continuous variables were reported as mean and standard deviation (SD) and categorical variables were presented as absolute frequency and percentage. Data were analyzed and the mean change in HbA1c, FBG, PPBG and weight along with SD were subjected to statistical analysis using paired t-test with significance value of 5%. Safety review was performed using intent-to-treat dataset (all participants who were recruited in the study irrespective of study completion), while efficacy analysis was performed using per protocol dataset (all participants who completed the study as per the protocol).

Results

Total 1,542 participants were enrolled in the study; of these 1,365 (88.5%) participants completed the study (*Figure 1*). Mean age and body weight of the study participants were 53.7 (SD 11.0) years and 71.4 (SD 12.8) kg, respectively. Study population included more males ($n=826$; 60.5%) than females ($n=539$; 39.5%). The baseline characteristics of study participants are shown in *Table 1*.


Efficacy

Mean HbA1c was 8.27% (SD 0.82) at baseline and decreased to 7.29% (SD 0.97) at week 12 ($p<0.001$). However, differences between two dose groups (0.2 mg and 0.3 mg) were non-significant for either monotherapy ($p=0.133$) or add-on therapy ($p=0.634$) (*Figure 2*). Significant ($p<0.001$) reductions in FBG (27.1 mg/dL; from 146.2 to 119.1 mg/dL) and PPBG (52.2 mg/dL; from 231.9 to 179.7 mg/dL) were reported at week 12. Reduction in FBG was significantly higher among participants who received 0.3 mg monotherapy compared to 0.2 mg monotherapy of voglibose, while between-dose group differences of FBG reduction for voglibose add-on therapy were non-significant. Reduction in PPBG was significantly higher ($p<0.001$) among participants who received 0.3 mg dose compared to 0.2 mg dose of voglibose with either as monotherapy or add-on therapy (*Figure 3*). Mean reduction in bodyweight (1.00 kg; $p=0.121$) was not significant at week 12 (*Figure 4*).

Safety

The AEs reported during this study were flatulence ($n=7$; 0.5%), abdominal discomfort ($n=4$; 0.3%), gastritis ($n=2$; 0.1%), diarrhea ($n=2$; 0.1%) and leg pain ($n=1$; 0.1%) (*Table 2*). Most of the AEs were mild ($n=14$) and two were

Figure 1: Participant disposition



HbA1c = glycated hemoglobin; PP = per protocol.


Table 1: Baseline characteristics of study participants

	Overall (N=1,365)
Age, years	53.7 (11.0)
Weight, kg	71.4 (12.8)
Height, cm	162.6 (10.0)
HbA1c, %	8.27 (0.82)
FBG, mg/dL	146.2 (43.8)
PPBG, mg/dL	231.9 (59.4)

All data are presented as mean (standard deviation).

FBG = fasting blood glucose; HbA1c = glycated hemoglobin; PPBG = postprandial blood glucose.

Figure 2: Changes in glycated hemoglobin levels with monotherapy and add-on therapy with voglibose




P value derived using paired t-test for within group differences was $p < 0.001$ for both doses at week 12.

HbA1c = glycated hemoglobin.

moderate. No serious AE or hypoglycemia episode was reported in any participant. AEs were managed by the investigators as per their routine clinical practice.

Figure 3: Mean change in fasting blood glucose (A) and postprandial blood glucose (B) from baseline to week 12




P value derived using paired t-test for within group differences was $p < 0.001$ for both doses at week 12; p value derived using independent sample t-test for between group differences was $p = 0.007$ and $p = 0.092$ for FBG, and $p = 0.001$ and $p = 0.002$ for PPBG with monotherapy and add-on therapy, respectively.

FBG = fasting blood glucose; HbA1c = glycated hemoglobin; PPBG = postprandial blood glucose.

Usage pattern

A total of 345 (25.3%) participants received voglibose as monotherapy, while 1,020 (74.7%) received it as add-on therapy to other antidiabetic drugs. Of those who received voglibose as add-on therapy, 12.4% received it as part of dual drug therapy, 36.6% as triple drug therapy, 19.3% as quadruple drug therapy, 4.4% as five-drug therapy, and 2.0% as other combinations. A total of 393 (28.8%) participants received voglibose as add-on with metformin and sulfonylurea; 176 (12.9%) with metformin, sulfonylurea and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors (DDP4-I); 102 (7.5%) with only metformin; 70 (5.1%) with metformin and DDP4-I; and 47 (3.4%) with only sulfonylurea. A total of 232 (17.0%) participants received voglibose as part of other antidiabetic combinations that included various permutations with sulfonylureas, metformin, DDP4-I, insulin as well as thiazolidinediones.

Figure 4: Mean change in bodyweight from baseline to week 12



There was no significant change in bodyweight with voglibose monotherapy. When used as add-on therapy, 0.3 mg of voglibose resulted in significant reduction in bodyweight ($p<0.001$).

Discussion

Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors (voglibose and acarbose) were introduced as first-line therapy to attain better PPBG control by the Research Society for the Study of Diabetes in India diabetes management guidelines.¹⁰ Despite being reported as an efficacious and safe treatment option, utilization of voglibose remains undetermined for the management of diabetes in real-life settings. The VICTORY study includes analysis of large population of patients with T2DM from varied geographical regions of India.

Most participants (74.7%), in our study, were taking multiple antidiabetic agents. Voglibose was most commonly used as add-on to metformin and sulfonylureas (28.8%)—a finding seen in several clinical trials of patients with T2DM treated with voglibose as add-on therapy.^{11–13} Twelve-week treatment with voglibose resulted in significant reduction in HbA1c (0.98%, $p<0.001$). Similar reductions in HbA1c with monotherapy or add-on therapy with voglibose have been reported in randomized studies. In a 6-month, single-blind, randomized, prospective study that compared voglibose with miglitol and acarbose, voglibose (0.2 mg three times daily for 1 month followed by 0.3 mg three times daily for 3 months) significantly reduced HbA1c by 1.57%.¹⁴ Jindal et al. ($n=30$; HbA1c 7–10%) reported reduction in mean HbA1c of 1.96% with voglibose (0.2 mg three times daily) when added to glimepiride (2 mg twice daily) and metformin (500 mg twice daily).¹⁵ In a retrospective study, overweight or obese Indian participants with diabetes that was inadequately controlled with metformin and sulfonylureas (body mass index ≥ 25 kg/m²; HbA1c level >8.0 –9.5%), received voglibose ($n=41$; 0.2 or 0.3 mg three times daily) or acarbose ($n=36$). Voglibose showed significant reduction in HbA1c level (0.32%) at 8 weeks (8.61 ± 1.24 versus 8.29 ± 1.3 ; $p<0.05$) and at 12 weeks (8.61 ± 1.24 versus 7.53 ± 1.25 ; $p<0.01$).¹⁶

Mean reduction of 27.1 mg/dL in FBG was observed in our study. Similar reductions of 20.2 mg/dL and 21.4 mg/dL have been reported by Rao C et al.¹⁷ and Ismail D et al.,¹⁴ respectively. On the other hand, Talaviya PA et al.¹⁶ and Jindal A et al.¹⁵ have reported FBG reductions of 8.2 mg/dL

Table 2: Adverse events observed during study

Adverse Event	n	%
Flatulence	7	0.5%
Abdominal discomfort	4	0.3%
Gastritis	2	0.1%
Diarrhea	2	0.1%
Leg pain	1	0.1%

$N=1,542$.

and 14.7 mg/dL, respectively. We observed mean reduction of 52.2 mg/dL in PPBG. This is similar to reductions of 64.4 mg/dL and 71.3 mg/dL reported by Rao C et al.¹⁷ and Jindal A et al.,¹⁵ respectively, while it is higher than the reported reduction of 24.9 mg/dL in the study by Talaviya PA et al.¹⁶ In the present study, significantly higher reduction of FBG and PPBG was observed among participants on voglibose 0.3 mg dose compared to 0.2 mg dose, except for FBG reduction in add-on therapy group. The reductions in PPBG with voglibose suggests its major role in the achievement of desired HbA1c. Reductions in PPBG have been shown to significantly reduce HbA1c levels in participants with T2DM.¹⁸

In the present study, there was non-significant ($p=0.121$) reduction of 1.0 kg in bodyweight observed at end of 12 weeks. Contrary to our observations, Talaviya PA et al. reported significant reduction in bodyweight at 8 weeks (77.85 ± 9.43 versus 74.84 ± 8.1 ; $p<0.05$) and 12 weeks (77.85 ± 9.43 versus 73.52 ± 7.68 ; $p<0.05$) of treatment with voglibose when compared to baseline.¹⁶ However, Jindal et al. have reported no significant change in bodyweight after 6 months of treatment with voglibose.¹⁵ Differences seen between results of this study and that of the ones by Talaviya PA et al.¹⁶ could be explained by the retrospective nature, obese patient population, and small sample size ($N=77$) of the latter.

In our experience, voglibose monotherapy or add-on therapy was safe for use in participants with T2DM, with no serious AEs reported. Flatulence and abdominal discomfort were the most commonly reported AEs and most AEs were of mild intensity. This is consistent with the safety and tolerability of voglibose reported in several studies.^{14,17,16,19–21} However, the underreporting of safety events, as suggested by the low rates of AEs, is a common problem in observational studies.^{7,17,22} In contrast to RCTs, the data collection of AEs was based on the voluntary reporting by the investigators, who are more likely to report serious AE in clinical practice.

The short observation period and the lack of a control group are the main limitations of this study. However, the latter increases the propensity of results to be more generalizable and replicable in clinical practice, which is necessary to complement the information retrieved from RCTs. Real-life studies and RCTs both have strengths and limitations and those should be appreciated as complementary to one another.

The strength of the VICTORY study is the large sample size from multiple real-life set-ups across India. This clearly supports widespread use of voglibose in patients with T2DM in real-world practice. Additionally, it also indicates enthusiasm and interest of both patients and healthcare professionals to be part of such undertakings. Having excluded the non-

qualifying and incomplete data, we tried to optimize the quality of the data, which were utilized for the final analysis in this study.

Conclusions

The VICTORY study demonstrates real-life effectiveness of voglibose monotherapy or add-on therapy, which significantly reduced

HbA1c, FBG, and PPBG in patients with T2DM. Voglibose was most commonly added with metformin and sulfonylureas. It was well-tolerated by the participants with T2DM without significant weight change. Efficacy of voglibose in a large population with T2DM seen in this study is the real-world reflection of the results obtained in randomized trials. □

- Pradeepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes and its complications in India and economic costs to the nation. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2017;71:816–24.
- Schort RJ. Targeting plasma glucose: preprandial versus postprandial. *Clin Diab.* 2004;22:169–72.
- Riddle MC. Basal glucose can be controlled, but the prandial problem persists—it's the next target! *Diabetes Care.* 2017;40:291–300.
- Vichayanrat A, Ploybutr S, Tunlakit M, et al. Efficacy and safety of voglibose in comparison with acarbose in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2002;55:99–103.
- Lee MY, Choi DS, Lee MK, et al. Comparison of acarbose and voglibose in diabetes patients who are inadequately controlled with basal insulin treatment: randomized, parallel, open-label, active-controlled study. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2014;29:90–7.
- Blonde L, Khunti K, Harris SB, et al. Interpretation and impact of real-world clinical data for the practicing clinician. *Adv Ther.* 2018;35:1763–74.
- Riyaz M, Imran S, Joseph NK, Saba M. Role of voglibose in prevention of type 2 diabetes in established case of impaired glucose tolerance: an observation study. *Int J Res Med Sci.* 2015;3:1453–6.
- Lodhi MAK, Khatoon AS. Voglibose and its significance in prediabetes: An observational study. *Int J Med Health Res.* 2019;5:153–4.
- Prescribing information of Voglior MD (voglibose) tablet. Available at: www.torrentian.com/pisheet/Upload/PL_Sheet/1229.pdf (accessed February 20, 2020).
- Chen X, Zheng Y, Shen Y. Voglibose (Basen, AO-128), one of the most important alpha-glucosidase inhibitors. *Curr Med Chem.* 2006;13:109–16.
- Santra D, Totade S. Prescribing trends of antidiabetic fixed dose combinations in a rural tertiary care teaching hospital in central India: an observational, cross-sectional study. *Research Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacodynamics.* 2015;7:19.
- Kumar L, Gupta SK, Prakash A. Assessment of the prescription pattern of anti-diabetic drugs in type-2 diabetes mellitus participants. *The Pharma Innovation.* 2018;7:392–4.
- Aparna A, Latha S, Nagarjun G, et al. A study on drug utilization pattern and effectiveness of oral hypoglycemic agents in diabetes mellitus. *PharmaTutor.* 2015;3:31–7.
- Ismail D, Deshmukh S. Comparative study of effect of alpha glucosidase inhibitors-miglitol, acarbose and voglibose on postprandial hyperglycaemia and glycosylated hemoglobin in type-2 diabetes mellitus. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci.* 2012;3:337–43.
- Jindal A, Jindal M, Kaur M, et al. Efficacy and safety of voglibose as an add-on triple drug in participants of type two diabetes mellitus uncontrolled with glimepiride and metformin in Punjabi population. *Indian Journal of Basic and Applied Medical Research.* 2014;3:111–6.
- Talaviya PA, Saboo BD, Dodiya HG, et al. Retrospective comparison of voglibose or acarbose as an add-on therapy to sulfonylureas in Western Indian participants with uncontrolled overweight/obese type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Syndr.* 2016;10:88–91.
- Rao C, Faruqui AA. Efficacy and safety of oral triple drug combination (voglibose, glimepiride and metformin) in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Journal of Current Research and Review.* 2013;5:20.
- Yamasaki Y, Katakami N, Hayaishi-Okano R, et al. Alpha-Glucosidase inhibitor reduces the progression of carotid intima-media thickness. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2005;67:204–10.
- Seino Y, Fujita T, Hiroi S, et al. Alogliptin plus voglibose in Japanese participants with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with an open-label, long-term extension. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2011;27(Suppl 3):21–9.
- Deb T, Chakrabarty A, Ghosh A. Adverse drug reactions in Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients on oral antidiabetic drugs in a diabetes outpatient department of a tertiary care teaching hospital in the Eastern India. *Int J Med Sci Public Health.* 2017;6:554–8.
- Dabhi AS, Bhatt NR, Shah MJ. Voglibose: an alpha glucosidase inhibitor. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2013;7:3023–7.
- Mathieu C, Barnett AH, Brath H, et al. Effectiveness and tolerability of second-line therapy with vildagliptin vs. other oral agents in type 2 diabetes: a real-life worldwide observational study (EDGE). *Int J Clin Pract.* 2013;67:947–56.